arXiv:1102.2009v2 [math.AP] 27 Mar 2012

Microlocal properties of scattering matrices for Schrödinger equations on scattering manifolds

Kenichi ITO* and Shu NAKAMURA†

January 12, 2013

Abstract

Let M be a scattering manifold, i.e., a Riemannian manifold with asymptotically conic structure, and let H be a Schrödinger operator on M. We can construct a natural time-dependent scattering theory for H with a suitable reference system, and the scattering matrix is defined accordingly ([6]). We here show the scattering matrices are Fourier integral operators associated to a canonical transform on the boundary manifold generated by the geodesic flow. In particular, we learn that the wave front sets are mapped according to the canonical transform. These results are generalizations of a theorem by Melrose and Zworski [11], but the framework and the proof are quite different. These results may be considered as generalizations or refinements of the classical off-diagonal smoothness of the scattering matrix for 2-body quantum scattering on Euclidean spaces.

1 Introduction

Let M be an n-dimensional smooth non-compact manifold such that $M = M_c \cup M_\infty$, where M_c is relatively compact and M_∞ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \partial M$ with a compact manifold ∂M. In the following, we often identify M_∞ with $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \partial M$, and we also suppose $M_c \cap M_\infty \subset (0,1) \times \partial M$ under this identification.

We first recall the construction of the model introduced in [6]. Let $\{\varphi_\alpha : U_\alpha \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\}$, $U_\alpha \subset \partial M$, be a local coordinate system of ∂M. We set

$$\{\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha = I \otimes \varphi_\alpha : \tilde{U}_\alpha = \mathbb{R}_+ \times U_\alpha \to \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\}$$

---

[*] Graduate School of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba Ibaraki, 305-8571 Japan. E-mail: `ito_ken@math.tsukuba.ac.jp`. Partially supported by JSPS Research Fellowship (2006-2007); JSPS Grant Wakate (B) 21740090 (2009–2012)

[†] Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro Tokyo, 153-8914 Japan. E-mail: `shu@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp`. Partially supported by JSPS Grant Kiban (B) 17340033 (2005–2008); Kiban (A) 21244008 (2009-2013).

be a local coordinate system of $M_\infty \cong \mathbb{R}_+ \times \partial M$, and we use $(r, \theta) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ to represent a point in M_∞.

We suppose ∂M is equipped with a smooth strictly positive density $H = H(\theta)$ and a positive $(2,0)$-tensor $h = (h^{jk}(\theta))$ on ∂M. We let

$$Q = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,k} H(\theta)^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_j}H(\theta)h^{jk}(\theta)\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_k} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{H}_b = L^2(\partial M, H(\theta)d\theta).$$

Q is an essentially self-adjoint operator on $\mathcal{H}_b$, and we denote the unique self-adjoint extension by the same symbol Q.

We set G be a smooth strictly positive density on M such that

$$G(x)dx = r^{n-1}H(\theta)drd\theta \quad \text{on } (1,\infty)\times\partial M \subset M_\infty,$$

and we set $\mathcal{H} = L^2(M, G(x)dx)$. Let P be a formally self-adjoint second order elliptic operator on M such that

$$P = -\frac{1}{2}G^{-1}(\partial_r, \partial_\theta/r)G\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ {}^t a_2 & a_3\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\partial_r \\ \partial_\theta/r\end{pmatrix} + V \quad \text{on } M_\infty,$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ {}^t a_2 & a_3\end{pmatrix}$ defines a real-valued smooth tensor, and V is a real-valued smooth function. We suppose, as well as in [6],

Assumption A. There is $\mu > 0$ such that for any $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}$ there is $C_{\ell\alpha} > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|\partial_r^\ell\partial_\theta^\alpha(a_1(r,\theta)-1)\right| \le C_{\ell\alpha}r^{-1-\mu-\ell}, \quad \left|\partial_r^\ell\partial_\theta^\alpha a_2(r,\theta)\right| \le C_{\ell\alpha}r^{-\mu-\ell}, \\ &\left|\partial_r^\ell\partial_\theta^\alpha(a_3(r,\theta)-h(\theta))\right| \le C_{\ell\alpha}r^{-\mu-\ell}, \quad \left|\partial_r^\ell\partial_\theta^\alpha V(r,\theta)\right| \le C_{\ell\alpha}r^{-1-\mu-\ell}\end{aligned}$$

in each local coordinate of M_∞ described above.

We may consider P as a *short range* perturbation of $-\frac{1}{2}\partial_r^2 + \frac{1}{r^2}Q$, but we will use different operators to construct a scattering theory. It is known that P is essentially self-adjoint; $\sigma_{ess}(P) = [0,\infty)$; and P is absolutely continuous except for a countable discrete spectrum with the only possible accumulation point 0 (see [6] and references therein). We construct a time-dependent scattering theory for H as follows: We set

$$\begin{aligned} &M_f = \mathbb{R}\times\partial M, \quad \mathcal{H}_f = L^2(M_f, H(\theta)drd\theta), \\ &P_f = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \quad \text{on } M_f.\end{aligned}$$

P_f is the one-dimensional free Schrödinger operator, and it is self-adjoint with $\mathcal{D}(P_f) = H^2(\mathbb{R})\otimes\mathcal{H}_b$. Let $j(r) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $j(r) = 0$ on $(-\infty, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $j(r) = 1$ on $[1,\infty)$. We set $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{H}_f \to \mathcal{H}$ by

$$(\mathcal{J}\varphi)(r,\theta) = r^{-(n-1)/2}j(r)\varphi(r,\theta) \quad \text{if } (r,\theta) \in M_\infty,$$

and $(\mathcal{J}\varphi)(x) = 0$ if $x \notin M_\infty$. We define the wave operators by

$$W_\pm = W_\pm(P, P_f, \mathcal{J}) = \operatorname*{s-lim}_{t\to\pm\infty} e^{itP}\mathcal{J}e^{-itP_f}.$$

It is shown in [6] that $W_\pm$ exist, and are complete in the following sense. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the Fourier transform in r, i.e.,

$$(\mathcal{F}\varphi)(\rho,\theta) = (2\pi)^{-1/2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ir\rho}\varphi(r,\theta)dr \quad \text{for } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(M_f),$$

and it is extended to a unitary map in $L^2(M_f)$. If we set

$$\mathcal{H}_{f,\pm} = \left\{\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_f \;\middle|\; \operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{F}\varphi) \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}_\pm} \times \partial M\right\},$$

then $\mathcal{H}_f = \mathcal{H}_{f,+} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{f,-}$. We consider $W_\pm$ as maps from $\mathcal{H}_{f,\pm}$ to $\mathcal{H}$. Then $W_\pm$ are asymptotically complete, i.e., $W_\pm$ are unitary operators from $\mathcal{H}_{f,\pm}$ to $\mathcal{H}_{ac}(P)$ ([6], Theorem 2). Then the scattering operator defined by

$$S = W_+^* W_- \;:\; \mathcal{H}_{f,-} \to \mathcal{H}_{f,+}$$

is a unitary operator. By the intertwining property: $P_f S = SP_f$, there is $S(\lambda) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_b)$ for $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$(\mathcal{F}S\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi)(\rho,\cdot) = S(\rho^2/2)\varphi(-\rho,\cdot) \quad \text{for } \rho > 0, \varphi \in \mathcal{F}\mathcal{H}_{f,-}.$$

$S(\lambda)$ is our scattering matrix, and we study its microlocal properties.

Let

$$q(\theta,\omega) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,k} h^{jk}(\theta)\omega_j\omega_k \quad \text{for } (\theta,\omega) \in T^*\partial M$$

be the classical Hamiltonian associated to Q. We denote the Hamilton flow generated by b by $\exp(tH_b)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose Assumption A, and let* $u \in \mathcal{H}_b$*. Then*

$$WF(S(\lambda)u) = \exp(\pi H_{\sqrt{2q}})WF(u),$$

where $WF(u)$ *denotes the wave front set of* u*.*

If $\mu = 1$, then we can show $S(\lambda)$ is an FIO. This is a slight extension of a theorem by Melrose and Zworski [11].

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose Assumption A with* $\mu = 1$*. Then for each* $\lambda > 0$*,* $S(\lambda)$ *is an FIO associated to* $\exp(\pi H_{\sqrt{2q}})$.

If $0 < \mu < 1$, then $S(\lambda)$ is not necessarily an FIO in the usual sense, but we can still show it is an FIO in a generalized sense:

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose Assumption A, and let $S(\lambda)$ be the scattering matrix defined as above. Then for each $\lambda > 0$, $S(\lambda)$ is a Fourier integral operator associated to an asymptotically homogeneous canonical transform in $T^*\partial M$, which is asymptotic to* $\exp(\pi H_{\sqrt{2q}})$ *as $\omega \to \infty$.*

The exact definition of the term: *an FIO associated to asymptotically homogeneous canonical transform* is given in [7], and we discuss it in Section 6.

Remark 1.1. Since we do not introduce a Riemannian metric, our model looks rather different from the scattering metric defined by Melrose [10, 11]. However, as is explained in Appendix of [6], the Laplacian on scattering manifolds is a special case of our model. Namely, their model corresponds to the case that $\mu = 1$ and that each a_j has asymptotic expansion in r^{-1} as $r \to \infty$, and $V = 0$.

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are essentially corollaries of Theorem 1.3, but they can be proved by a simpler argument than Theorem 1.3. We feel the simpler argument is interesting in itself, and we first prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, and then we refine the argument to prove Theorem 1.3 later.

The main idea to prove Theorems 1.1–1.3 is to consider the evolution:

$$A(t) = e^{itP_f/h}\mathcal{J}^* e^{-itP/h} a(hr, D_r, \theta, hD_\theta) e^{itP/h}\mathcal{J} e^{-itP_f/h}$$

with some symbol a, and use an Egorov theorem type argument for this time-dependent operator. We use semiclassical argument, i.e., we consider the asymptotic behavior of the operator as $h \to 0$. We consider $W(t) = e^{itP_f/h}\mathcal{J}^* e^{-itP/h}$ as a time-evolution, and then construct an asymptotic solution for $A(t)$ (with slight modifications) as a solution to a Heisenberg equation. The construction of the asymptotic solution relies on the classical Hamilton flow generated by p, the symbol of P. The dominant part of the symbol p is given by the unperturbed conic Hamiltonian: $p_c = \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 + \frac{1}{r^2}q(\theta, \omega)$. The classical scattering operator for the pair p_c and $p_f = \frac{1}{2}\rho^2$ is explicitly computed, and it is $\exp(\pi H_{\sqrt{2q}})$, which appears in the statement of our main theorems. Thus, one may consider our results as a quantization of the classical mechanical scattering on the scattering manifold. More precisely, we show that the canonical transform appearing in Theorem 1.3 is actually the classical scattering map for the pair p and p_f, which is not necessarily homogeneous, and we need to use the method of FIOs with asymptotically conic Lagrangian manifolds.

As mentioned in the beginning, Theorem 1.2 is slight generalization of the Melrose-Zworski Theorem ([11]. See also [16] for a simplification of the theory). They used the theory of Legendre distribution and the notion of scattering wave front sets, whereas we use relatively elementary pseudodifferential operator calculus with somewhat non-standard symbol classes, and a Beals type characterization of FIOs. We also note that our proof, as well as the setting, is time-dependent theoretical, and we investigate the scattering phenomena directly to obtain the properties of the wave operators and scattering operators, whereas the Melrose-Zworski paper relies on the stationary, generalized eigenfunction expansion theory.

Our method is closely related to our previous works on the propagation of singularities for Schrödinger evolution equations ([13, 14, 5, 7]). In these works, we considered singularities of solutions, which is described by their high energy behavior, whereas in the scattering phenomena we are concerned with the large r behavior (which in turn is related to the high $|\omega|$ behavior, where ω is the conjugate variables to $\theta \in \partial M$). Thus we are forced to use different symbol classes in the calculus, and the corresponding classical mechanics looks slightly different, but the general strategy is essentially the same as these papers.

If $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ and the Hamiltonian P is a short-range perturbation of the Laplacian $-\frac{1}{2}\triangle$, then the canonical map $\exp(\pi H_{\sqrt{2q}})$ is the antipodal map on T^*S^{n-1}. In this case, the off-diagonal smoothness of the scattering cross section is well-known (see [3], [17], Section 9.4, and references therein), and our result (as well as the Melrose-Zworski theorem) may be considered as its generalizations. For such models, our result implies the scattering matrix is an FIO (associated to a canonical map which is asymptotic to the identity map), and if $\mu = 1$ then it is in fact a pseudodifferential operator. It is also not difficult to show from our argument that the scattering matrix is a pseudodifferential operator with the symbol in $S^0_{\mu,0}(S^{n-1})$ if $\mu \in (0, 1)$.

The paper is constructed as follows. In Section 2, we discuss Hamilton flows generated by p_c and p, and their scattering theory. In Section 3, we prepare symbol calculus on the scattering manifolds. In Section 4, we discuss an Egorov type theorem and the construction of asymptotic solutions, which is sufficient to show Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. We prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in Section 5. In Section 6, we discuss the modification of the argument to show Theorem 1.3. We discuss a local decay estimate necessary in the proof in Appendix A. A Beals type characterization, or an inverse of the Egorov theorem is discussed in Appendix B, along with a technical lemma on FIOs used in the proof.

Throughout this paper, we use the following notation: For norm spaces X and Y, the space of bounded linear maps is denoted by $B(X, Y)$, and if $X = Y$, we also denote $B(X, X) = B(X)$. More generally, if X and Y are topological linear spaces, the space of continuous linear maps is denoted by $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. For a symbol g on T^*X with a manifold X, we denote the Hamilton flow generated by the Hamilton vector field:

$$H_g = \frac{\partial g}{\partial \xi} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}$$

by $\exp(tH_g)$. We also denote $T^*X \setminus 0 = \big\{(x, \xi) \in T^*X \bigm| \xi \neq 0\big\}$.

2 Classical flow and scattering theory

In this section, we consider the classical mechanics, or the Hamilton flow for the Hamiltonian with conic structure on T^*M_∞ where $M_\infty = \mathbb{R}_+ \times \partial M$, and then the Hamilton flow generated by the principal symbol of P.

2.1 Exact solutions to the conic Hamilton flow

We set

$$p_c(r, \rho, \theta, \omega) = \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} q(\theta, \omega), \quad q(\theta, \omega) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k} h^{jk}(\theta)\omega_j \omega_k$$

on $T^*M_\infty \cong T^*\mathbb{R}_+ \times T^*\partial M$. We consider

$$(r(t), \rho(t), \theta(t), \omega(t)) = \exp(tH_{p_c})(r_0, \rho_0, \theta_0, \omega_0)$$

with $(r_0, \rho_0, \theta_0, \omega_0) \in T^*\mathbb{R}_+ \times (T^*\partial M \setminus 0)$, i.e., $\omega_0 \neq 0$. It satisfies the Hamilton equation:

$$\begin{aligned} r'(t) &= \frac{\partial p_c}{\partial \rho} = \rho(t), \quad \rho'(t) = -\frac{\partial p_c}{\partial r} = \frac{2}{r(t)^3} q(\theta(t), \omega(t)), \\ \theta'(t) &= \frac{\partial p_c}{\partial \omega} = \frac{1}{r(t)^2} \frac{\partial q}{\partial \omega}(\theta(t), \omega(t)), \quad \omega'(t) = \frac{\partial p_c}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{1}{r(t)^2} \frac{\partial q}{\partial \theta}(\theta(t), \omega(t)). \end{aligned}$$

The solution has two invariants: the total energy $E_0 = p_c(r_0, \rho_0, \theta_0, \omega_0)$ and the angular energy $q_0 = q(\theta_0, \omega_0)$. (The conservation of the total energy follows from $\{p_c, p_c\} = 0$, and the angular energy from $\{q, p_c\} = \frac{1}{2}\{q, \rho^2\} + \{q, \frac{1}{r^2}\}q + \frac{1}{r^2}\{q, q\} = 0$). Then $(r(t), \rho(t))$ satisfies

$$r'(t) = \rho(t), \quad \rho'(t) = \frac{2}{r(t)^3} q_0$$

which is independent of $(\theta(t), \omega(t))$. Noting $(r^2(t))'' = 4E_0$, we can easily solve this equation to obtain

$$r(t) = \sqrt{2E_0 t^2 + 2r_0\rho_0 t + r_0^2}, \quad \rho(t) = \frac{2E_0 t + r_0\rho_0}{\sqrt{2E_0 t^2 + 2r_0\rho_0 t + r_0^2}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We now set

$$\tau(t) = \int_0^t \frac{ds}{r(s)^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2q_0}} \left\{ \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{2E_0 t + r_0\rho_0}{\sqrt{2q_0}}\right) - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{r_0\rho_0}{\sqrt{2q_0}}\right) \right\}.$$

Then $(\theta(t), \omega(t))$ satisfies

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \tau} = \frac{\partial q}{\partial \omega}(\theta, \omega), \quad \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \tau} = -\frac{\partial q}{\partial \theta}(\theta, \omega).$$

and hence we learn

$$(\theta(t), \omega(t)) = \exp(\tau(t) H_q)(\theta_0, \omega_0).$$

Moreover, if we set $\sigma(t) = \sqrt{2q_0} \cdot \tau(t)$, then we learn

$$\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial\sigma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2q}}\frac{\partial q}{\partial\omega} = \frac{\partial\sqrt{2q}}{\partial\omega}(\theta, \omega), \quad \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial\sigma} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2q}}\frac{\partial q}{\partial\theta} = -\frac{\partial\sqrt{2q}}{\partial\theta}(\theta, \omega),$$

and hence

$$(\theta(t), \omega(t)) = \exp\bigl(\sigma(t) H_{\sqrt{2q}}\bigr)(\theta_0, \omega_0).$$

Note that $\exp\bigl(tH_{\sqrt{2q}}\bigr)$ is the geodesic flow on ∂M with respect to the (co)metric $(h^{jk}(\theta))$ on $T^*\partial M$.

2.2 Classical mechanical wave operators and scattering operator for the conic Hamilton flow

Now we consider the asymptotics as $t \to \pm\infty$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} r_\pm &= \lim_{t\to\pm\infty} \tilde{r}(t) = \lim_{t\to\pm\infty} (r(t) - t\rho(t)) = \pm\frac{r_0\rho_0}{\sqrt{2E_0}}, \\ \rho_\pm &= \lim_{t\to\pm\infty} \rho(t) = \pm\sqrt{2E_0}, \\ (\theta_\pm, \omega_\pm) &= \lim_{t\to\pm\infty} (\theta(t), \omega(t)) = \exp(\sigma_\pm H_{\sqrt{2q}})(\theta_0, \omega_0), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_\pm = \pm\frac{\pi}{2} - \tan^{-1}\bigl(\frac{r_0\rho_0}{\sqrt{2q_0}}\bigr)$. Note we need a modification only for $r(t)$. $(r_\pm, \rho_\pm, \theta_\pm, \omega_\pm)$ are the scattering data for the trajectory $(r(t), \rho(t), \theta(t), \omega(t))$. We also note the identities:

$$E_0 = \frac{1}{2}\rho_0^2 + \frac{1}{r_0^2}q_0 = \frac{1}{2}\rho_\pm^2, \quad r_0\rho_0 = r_\pm\rho_\pm, \quad q_0 = q(\theta_\pm, \omega_\pm).$$

Using these, we can solve $(r_0, \rho_0, \theta_0, \omega_0)$ for given $(r_\pm, \rho_\pm, \theta_\pm, \omega_\pm)$ if $\pm\rho_\pm > 0$ and $\omega_\pm \neq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} r_0 &= \sqrt{r_\pm^2 + 2q_0/\rho_\pm^2}, \quad \rho_0 = \frac{r_\pm\rho_\pm}{\sqrt{r_\pm^2 + 2q_0/\rho_\pm^2}}, \\ (\theta_0, \omega_0) &= \exp(-\sigma_\pm H_{\sqrt{2q}})(\theta_\pm, \omega_\pm), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_\pm = \pm\frac{\pi}{2} - \tan^{-1}\bigl(\frac{r_\pm\rho_\pm}{\sqrt{2q}}\bigr)$. We define the classical wave operators (for the pair p_c and $p_f := \frac{1}{2}\rho^2$) by

$$w_{c,\pm} : (r_\pm, \rho_\pm, \theta_\pm, \omega_\pm) \mapsto (r_0, \rho_0, \theta_0, \omega_0).$$

We can also write

$$w_{c,\pm}(r_\pm, \rho_\pm, \theta_\pm, \omega_\pm) = \lim_{t\to\pm\infty} \exp(-tH_{p_c}) \circ \exp(tH_{p_f})(r_\pm, \rho_\pm, \theta_\pm, \omega_\pm).$$

It is easy to check $w_{c,\pm}$ are diffeomorphisms from $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}_\pm\times(T^*\partial M\setminus 0)$ to $\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}\times(T^*\partial M\setminus 0)$. Hence the classical scattering operator:

$$s_c = w_{c,+}^{-1}\circ w_{c,-} : (r_-,\rho_-,\theta_-,\omega_-)\mapsto(r_+,\rho_+,\theta_+,\omega_+)$$

is a diffeomorphism from $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}_-\times(T^*\partial M\setminus 0)$ to $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}_+\times(T^*\partial M\setminus 0)$. We can easily compute s_c explicitly, and we have

$$s_c(r,\rho,\theta,\omega) = (-r,-\rho,\exp(\pi H_{\sqrt{2q}})(\theta,\rho)),$$

and this is the classical analogue of the Melrose-Zworski theorem.

We write

$$w_c(t) = \exp(-tH_{p_c})\circ\exp(tH_{p_f}) \quad \text{so that } w_{c,\pm} = \lim_{t\to\pm\infty} w_c(t).$$

Let $U\subset\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}\times(T^*\partial M\setminus 0)$ be a relatively compact domain. Then the convergence of $w_c(t)^{-1}$ to $w_{c,\pm}^{-1}$ (as $t\to\pm\infty$) is uniform on U with all the derivatives. Since the limit is diffeomorphic, its inverse $w(t)$ also has the same property (on $w_c(t)^{-1}U$). In particular, all the derivatives of $w_c(t)^{-1}$ on U are uniformly bounded in t, and all the derivatives of $w_c(t)$ on $w_c(t)^{-1}U$ are uniformly bounded.

We note that it is easy to check $w_{c,\pm}$ and hence s_c are homogeneous of order one with respect to (r,ω)-variables, i.e.,

$$w_{c,\pm}^{-1}(\lambda r_0,\rho_0,\theta_0,\lambda\omega_0) = (\lambda r_\pm,\rho_\pm,\theta_\pm,\lambda\omega) \quad \text{for } \lambda>0.$$

This is consistent with the scaling property of $w_c(t)$:

$$w_c^{-1}(\lambda t)(\lambda r_0,\rho_0,\theta_0,\lambda\omega_0) = (\lambda\tilde{r}(t),\rho(t),\theta(t),\lambda\omega(t))$$

for any $\lambda>0, t\in\mathbb{R}$.

2.3 Classical flow generated by the scattering metric

Here we discuss the Hamilton flow generated by the symbol of P:

$$p(r,\rho,\theta,\omega) = \frac{1}{2}\left(a_1(r,\theta)\rho^2 + \frac{2\rho a_2(r,\theta)\cdot\omega}{r} + \frac{\omega\cdot a_3(r,\theta)\omega}{r^2}\right) + V$$

on T^*M_∞.

We let $\Omega_0 \Subset T^*\mathbb{R}_+\times(T^*\partial M\setminus 0)$. For $h\in(0,1]$, we set

$$\Omega_0^h = \big\{(r,\rho,\theta,\omega)\in T^*\mathbb{R}_+\times(T^*\partial M\setminus 0)\ \big|\ (hr,\rho,\theta,h\omega)\in\Omega_0\big\},$$

and we consider the Hamilton flow with initial conditions in Ω_0^h. We show that if h is sufficiently small then the classical (inverse) wave operators exist on Ω_0^h, and they are very close to $w_{c,\pm}^{-1}$, the (inverse) wave operators for the conic metric.

Theorem 2.1. (i) *Let Ω_0 and Ω_0^h as above. Then there is $h_0 > 0$ such that if $h \in (0, h_0]$*

$$w_\pm^*(r,\rho,\theta,\omega) := \lim_{t\to\pm\infty} \exp(-tH_{p_f}) \circ \exp(tH_p)(r,\rho,\theta,\omega)$$

exist for $(r,\rho,\theta,\omega) \in \Omega_0^h$, and the convergence holds in the C^∞-topology on Ω_0^h.

(ii) *We denote*

$$(r(t),\rho(t),\theta(t),\omega(t)) = \exp(tH_p)(r,\rho,\theta,\omega),$$
$$(r_c(t),\rho_c(t),\theta_c(t),\omega_c(t)) = \exp(tH_{p_c})(r,\rho,\theta,\omega)$$

for $(r,\rho,\theta,\omega) \in \Omega_0^h$. Then for any indices α,β,γ and δ, there is $C > 0$ such that

$$\left|\partial_r^\alpha\partial_\rho^\beta\partial_\theta^\gamma\partial_\omega^\delta(r(t) - r_c(t))\right| + \left|\partial_r^\alpha\partial_\rho^\beta\partial_\theta^\gamma\partial_\omega^\delta(\omega(t) - \omega_c(t))\right| \le Ch^{-1+\mu+|\alpha|+|\delta|},$$
$$\left|\partial_r^\alpha\partial_\rho^\beta\partial_\theta^\gamma\partial_\omega^\delta(\rho(t) - \rho_c(t))\right| + \left|\partial_r^\alpha\partial_\rho^\beta\partial_\theta^\gamma\partial_\omega^\delta(\theta(t) - \theta_c(t))\right| \le Ch^{\mu+|\alpha|+|\delta|},$$

for $(r,\rho,\theta,\omega) \in \Omega_0^h$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < h \le h_0$.

(iii) *If we denote*

$$w_\pm^*(r,\rho,\theta,\omega) = (r_\pm,\rho_\pm,\theta_\pm,\omega_\pm), \quad w_{c,\pm}^*(r,\rho,\theta,\omega) = (r_{c,\pm},\rho_{c,\pm},\theta_{c,\pm},\omega_{c,\pm}),$$

then

$$\left|\partial_r^\alpha\partial_\rho^\beta\partial_\theta^\gamma\partial_\omega^\delta(r_\pm - r_{c,\pm})\right| + \left|\partial_r^\alpha\partial_\rho^\beta\partial_\theta^\gamma\partial_\omega^\delta(\omega_\pm - \omega_{c,\pm})\right| \le Ch^{-1+\mu+|\alpha|+|\delta|},$$
$$\left|\partial_r^\alpha\partial_\rho^\beta\partial_\theta^\gamma\partial_\omega^\delta(\rho_\pm - \rho_{c,\pm})\right| + \left|\partial_r^\alpha\partial_\rho^\beta\partial_\theta^\gamma\partial_\omega^\delta(\theta_\pm - \theta_{c,\pm})\right| \le Ch^{\mu+|\alpha|+|\delta|}$$

for $(r,\rho,\theta,\omega) \in \Omega_0^h$, $0 < h \le h_0$.

For $(r_0,\rho_0,\theta_0,\omega_0) \in \Omega_0$, we set $(r^h(t),\rho^h(t),\theta^h(t),\omega^h(t))$ so that

$$(h^{-1}r^h(t),\rho^h(t),\theta^h(t),h^{-1}\omega^h(t)) = \exp(h^{-1}tH_p)(h^{-1}r_0,\rho_0,\theta_0,h^{-1}\omega_0).$$

We also set

$$p^h(r,\rho,\theta,\omega) = p(h^{-1}r,\rho,\theta,h^{-1}\omega), \quad (r,\rho,\theta,\omega) \in T^*M_\infty.$$

Then it is easy to check

$$(r^h(t),\rho^h(t),\theta^h(t),\omega^h(t)) = \exp(tH_{p^h})(r_0,\rho_0,\theta_0,\omega_0).$$

On the other hand, if we write

$$p^h(r,\rho,\theta,\omega) = p_c(r,\rho,\theta,\omega) + v^h(r,\rho,\theta,\omega),$$

then we learn by the Assumption A that for any indices $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta$,

$$\left|\partial_r^\alpha\partial_\rho^\beta\partial_\theta^\gamma\partial_\omega^\delta v^h(r,\rho,\theta,\omega)\right| \le C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}h^\mu\big(r^{-1}\langle\rho\rangle^2 + r^{-1}\langle\rho\rangle\langle\omega\rangle + r^{-2}\langle\omega\rangle^2\big)r^{-\mu-|\alpha|}\langle\rho\rangle^{-|\beta|}\langle\omega\rangle^{-|\delta|}. \tag{2.1}$$

In order to prove Theorem 2.1, it suffices to show:

Theorem 2.2. (i) *There is* $h_0 > 0$ *such that if* $h \in (0, h_0]$ *then*

$$w^*_{\pm,h}(r_0, \rho_0, \theta_0, \omega_0) := \lim_{t\to\pm\infty} \exp(-tH_{p_f}) \circ \exp(tH_{p^h})(r_0, \rho_0, \theta_0, \omega_0)$$

exist for $(r_0, \rho_0, \theta_0, \omega_0) \in \Omega_0$*, and the convergence holds in the* C^∞*-topology.*

(ii) *For any indices* $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$*, there is* $C > 0$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\partial^\alpha_{r_0}\partial^\beta_{\rho_0}\partial^\gamma_{\theta_0}\partial^\delta_{\omega_0}(r^h(t) - r_c(t))\right| + \left|\partial^\alpha_{r_0}\partial^\beta_{\rho_0}\partial^\gamma_{\theta_0}\partial^\delta_{\omega_0}(\rho^h(t) - \rho_c(t))\right| \\ &+ \left|\partial^\alpha_{r_0}\partial^\beta_{\rho_0}\partial^\gamma_{\theta_0}\partial^\delta_{\omega_0}(\theta^h(t) - \theta_c(t))\right| + \left|\partial^\alpha_{r_0}\partial^\beta_{\rho_0}\partial^\gamma_{\theta_0}\partial^\delta_{\omega_0}(\omega^h(t) - \omega_c(t))\right| \le Ch^\mu\end{aligned}$$

for $(r_0, \rho_0, \theta_0, \omega_0) \in \Omega_0$*,* $h \in (0, h_0]$*,* $t \in \mathbb{R}$*, where*

$$(r_c(t), \rho_c(t), \theta_c(t), \omega_c(t)) = \exp(tH_{p_c})(r_0, \rho_0, \theta_0, \omega_0).$$

(iii) *Denoting*

$$(r^h_\pm, \rho^h_\pm, \theta^h_\pm, \omega^h_\pm) = w^*_{\pm,h}(r_0, \rho_0, \theta_0, \omega_0),$$

we have for any indices $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$*,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\partial^\alpha_{r_0}\partial^\beta_{\rho_0}\partial^\gamma_{\theta_0}\partial^\delta_{\omega_0}(r^h_\pm - r_{c,\pm})\right| + \left|\partial^\alpha_{r_0}\partial^\beta_{\rho_0}\partial^\gamma_{\theta_0}\partial^\delta_{\omega_0}(\rho^h_\pm - \rho_{c,\pm})\right| \\ &+ \left|\partial^\alpha_{r_0}\partial^\beta_{\rho_0}\partial^\gamma_{\theta_0}\partial^\delta_{\omega_0}(\theta^h_\pm - \theta_{c,\pm})\right| + \left|\partial^\alpha_{r_0}\partial^\beta_{\rho_0}\partial^\gamma_{\theta_0}\partial^\delta_{\omega_0}(\omega^h_\pm - \omega_{c,\pm})\right| \le Ch^\mu\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is analogous to the argument in [14] Section 2, and [5] Section 2. We only outline the proof, and we omit the detail.

Step 1. By the standard virial-type argument, we learn that there is $R > 0$ such that

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}(r^h(t)^2) \ge c > 0 \quad \text{if } r^h(t) \ge R,$$

if $(r_0, \rho_0, \theta_0, \omega_0) \in \Omega_0$. Here we use the fact that $|\rho|$ and $|\omega/r|$ are uniformly bounded by the conservation of energy. On the other hand, since $v^h = O(h^\mu)$, we also learn that $r^h(t) \to r_c(t)$ as $h \downarrow 0$, locally uniformly in t. Thus, if t_0 is large and h is small enough, $r^h(t) \ge R$, and combining this with the above observation, we have

$$|r^h(t)| \ge \sqrt{R + c|t - t_0|^2/2} \quad \text{for } t \ge t_0.$$

Hence we learn

$$c_1\langle t\rangle \le r^h(t) \le c_2\langle t\rangle \quad \text{for } h \in (0, h_0], t > 0$$

with some $h_0, c_1, c_2 > 0$. The case $t < 0$ can be considered similarly.

Step 2. We consider the time evolution of $q_0(t) = q(\theta^h(t), \omega^h(t))$. By the Hamilton equation and (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}q_0(t) &= -\{p^h, q_0\} = -\{v^h, q_0\} \\ &= O(h^\mu r^{-1-\mu}\langle\omega\rangle^2) = O(h^\mu\langle t\rangle^{-1-\mu}(1 + q_0(t))).\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the boundedness of $|\rho(t)|$ and $|\omega(t)/r(t)|$ again. Then by the Duhamel formula, we learn that $q_0(t)$ is uniformly bounded for the initial conditions in Ω_0 and $h \in (0, h_0]$. This implies $|\omega^h(t)|$ is also uniformly bounded.

Step 3. Combining the above observations with the Hamilton equation, we learn

$$\left|\frac{d}{dt}\rho^h(t)\right| \le C\langle t\rangle^{-2-\mu}, \quad \left|\frac{d}{dt}(r^h(t) - t\rho^h(t))\right| \le C\langle t\rangle^{-1-\mu},$$
$$\left|\frac{d}{dt}\theta^h(t)\right| \le C\langle t\rangle^{-1-\mu}, \quad \left|\frac{d}{dt}\omega^h(t)\right| \le C\langle t\rangle^{-1-\mu},$$

uniformly for $(r_0, \rho_0, \theta_0, \omega_0) \in \Omega_0$, $h \in (0, h_0]$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. These imply the existence of $w^*_{\pm,h}$ on Ω_0. We can show the similar estimates for the derivatives, i.e.,

$$\left|\frac{d}{dt}\big(\partial_{r_0}^\alpha \partial_{\rho_0}^\beta \partial_{\theta_0}^\gamma \partial_{\omega_0}^\delta \rho^h(t)\big)\right| \le C\langle t\rangle^{-2-\mu-|\alpha|}, \quad \text{etc.}$$

These imply the convergence in C^∞-topology, and we conclude the assertion (i).

Step 4. We set

$$g^h(t) = |r^h(t) - r_c(t)| + |\rho^h(t) - \rho_c(t)| + |\theta^h(t) - \theta_c(t)| + |\omega^h(t) - \omega_c(t)|.$$

Then by the Hamilton equation, (2.1), and the estimates in Steps 1 and 2, we learn

$$\left|\frac{d}{dt}g^h(t)\right| \le C\langle t\rangle^{-1-\mu}g^h(t) + Ch^\mu\langle t\rangle^{-1-\mu},$$

uniformly for the initial condition in Ω_0 and $h \in (0, h_0]$. Then by the Duhamel formula and noting $g^h(0) = 0$, we obtain

$$\left|g^h(t)\right| \le Ch^\mu, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This is the assertion (ii) with $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = \delta = 0$. The derivatives can be estimated similarly by induction. For the detail of this argument, we refer [1] Section 2, or [14] Section 2. The assertion (iii) follows immediately from the assertion (ii). □

By the above argument, we also learn $w^*_{\pm,h}$ are invertible for small h. The inverses are uniformly bounded, and their inverses

$$w_{\pm,h} = (w^*_{\pm,h})^{-1}$$

are well-defined for $h \in (0, h_0]$. It follows that

$$w_\pm = (w^*_\pm)^{-1}$$

is well-defined and diffeomorphic on $w_\pm^*[\Omega_0^h]$ with $h \in (0, h_0]$. Thus we can define the classical scattering operator by

$$s = w_+^* \circ w_-$$

on $w_-^*[\Omega_0^h]$ with sufficiently small h.

3 Symbol classes and their quantization on scattering manifolds

Here we prepare a pseudodifferential operator calculus which is used extensively in the proof of main theorems. We refer to textbooks by Hörmander [2] and Taylor [15] for the standard theory of microlocal analysis.

In the following, we employ symbol calculus on T^*M, but we always suppose the symbol is supported in T^*M_∞, and we use the local coordinate system as in Section 1. More specifically, we choose a local coordinate system on ∂M: $\{\varphi_\alpha : U_\alpha \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\}$, $U_\alpha \subset \partial M$, and we use the coordinate system $\{1 \otimes \varphi_\alpha : \mathbb{R}_+ \times U_\alpha \to \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\}$ on M_∞. We also use a similar local coordinate system on M_f defined by $\{1 \otimes \varphi_\alpha : \mathbb{R} \times U_\alpha \to \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\}$. We often identify U_α (or $\mathbb{R}_+ \times U_\alpha$, $\mathbb{R} \times U_\alpha$, resp.) with Ran φ_α (or Ran $(1 \otimes \varphi_\alpha)$, resp.).

3.1 Symbol classes

We set a metric either on T^*M_∞ or T^*M_f defined by

$$g_1 = \frac{dr^2}{\langle r\rangle^2} + d\rho^2 + d\theta^2 + \frac{d\omega^2}{\langle\omega\rangle^2},$$

and consider symbols in $S(m, g_1)$ with a weight function m, i.e., $a \in S(m, g_1)$ if and only if for any indices a, β, γ, δ, there is C such that

$$\left|\partial_r^\alpha \partial_\rho^\beta \partial_\theta^\gamma \partial_\omega^\delta a(r, \rho, \theta, \omega)\right| \le Cm(r, \rho, \theta, \omega)\langle r\rangle^{-|\alpha|}\langle\omega\rangle^{-|\delta|}.$$

Later, we will consider the symbol calculus of such symbols on sets of the form: $\Omega^h = \{(r, \rho, \theta, \omega) \,|\, (hr, \rho, \theta, h\omega) \in \Omega\}$ with some compact set $\Omega \subset T^*M_\infty$ (supported away from $\{\omega = 0\}$) and small $h > 0$. In such cases, the symbol satisfies

$$\left|\partial_r^\alpha \partial_\rho^\beta \partial_\theta^\gamma \partial_\omega^\delta a(h; r, \rho, \theta, \omega)\right| \le Cm(h)h^{|\alpha|+|\delta|},$$

and we denote such (h-dependent) symbol as $a \in S_h(m, g_1^h)$, where m is an h-dependent weight. The corresponding metric is naturally

$$g_1^h = h^2 dr^2 + d\rho^2 + d\theta^2 + h^2 d\omega^2.$$

3.2 Weyl quantization

Let $\{\chi_\alpha^2\}$ be a partition of unity on ∂M compatible with our coordinate system $\{\varphi_\alpha, U_\alpha\}$, i.e., $\chi_\alpha \in C_0^\infty(U_\alpha)$ and $\sum_\alpha \chi_\alpha(\theta)^2 \equiv 1$ on ∂M. We also denote $\tilde{\chi}_\alpha(r,\theta) = \chi_\alpha(\theta) j(r) \in C^\infty(M_\infty)$. Let $a \in S(m, g_1)$ be a symbol on T^*M_∞, and let $u \in C_0^\infty(T^*M)$. We denote by $a_{(\alpha)}$ and $G_{(\alpha)}$ the representation of a and G in the local coordinate $(1 \otimes \varphi_\alpha, \mathbb{R} \times U_\alpha)$, respectively. We quantize a by

$$Op^W(a)u = \sum_\alpha \tilde{\chi}_\alpha G_{(\alpha)}^{-1/2} a_{(\alpha)}^W(r, D_r, \theta, D_\theta) G_{(\alpha)}^{1/2} \tilde{\chi}_\alpha u$$

where $a_{(\alpha)}^W(r, D_r, \theta, D_\theta)$ denotes the usual Weyl-quantization on the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$, and we use the identification: $\mathbb{R}_+ \times U_\alpha \cong \mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathrm{Ran}\ \varphi_\alpha)$ for each α. (Strictly speaking, we should have written it as

$$Op^W(a)u = \sum_\alpha \tilde{\chi}_\alpha (\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha)^* \big(G_{(\alpha)}^{-1/2} a_{(\alpha)}^W(r, D_r, \theta, D_\theta) G_{(\alpha)}^{1/2} (\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha)_* (\tilde{\chi}_\alpha u) \big),$$

but we will omit $(\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha)_*$, $(\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha)^*$, etc., without confusions.) This definition is compatible with the standard definition of pseudodifferential operators on manifolds, but we choose specific quantization which preserves the asymptotically conic structure of M. Similarly, for a symbol a on T^*M_f, we quantize it by

$$Op^W(a)u = \sum_\alpha \chi_\alpha H_{(\alpha)}^{-1/2} a_{(\alpha)}^W(r, D_r, \theta, D_\theta) H_{(\alpha)}^{1/2} \chi_\alpha u$$

for $u \in C_0^\infty(M_f)$, where $H_{(\alpha)}$ denotes the representation of H in the local coordinate $(\varphi_\alpha, U_\alpha)$. In this case, the linear structure in r is preserved.

In the above definition, we put weights around the locally defined pseudodifferential operators a_α^W so that $Op^W(a)$ is symmetric if a is real-valued. Moreover, by virtue of these weights, the symbol corresponding to the operator is unique including the subprincipal symbol, though we will not take advantage of this fact in this paper.

The above definition of quantizations also have a convenient property that if we identify a symbol a on T^*M_∞ with a symbol on T^*M_f (by the obvious identification), then we have

$$\mathcal{J} Op^W(a) \mathcal{J}^* = Op^W(a) \quad \text{on } \mathcal{H}$$

provided a is supported in $\{r > 1\}$, and we may identify these quantizations by using $\mathcal{J}$. For a symbol supported in $\{r > 1\}$, we may consider $Op^W(a)$ as an operator from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{H}_f$ (or from $\mathcal{H}_f$ to $\mathcal{H}$) also. We define them by

$$Op^W(a)u = \sum_\alpha \chi_\alpha H_{(\alpha)}^{-1/2} a_{(\alpha)}^W(r, D_r, \theta, D_\theta) G_{(\alpha)}^{1/2} \tilde{\chi}_\alpha u$$

for $u \in C_0^\infty(M)$ and

$$Op^W(a)u = \sum_\alpha \tilde{\chi}_\alpha G_{(\alpha)}^{-1/2} a_{(\alpha)}^W(r, D_r, \theta, D_\theta) H_{(\alpha)}^{1/2} \chi_\alpha u$$

for $u \in C_0^\infty(M_f)$, respectively.

If $A = Op^W(a)$, then we denote the (Weyl) symbol of A by $a = \Sigma(A)$.

3.3 Hamiltonians

Now we consider properties of our Schrödinger operators and related operators as a preparation of the next section.

We note that, as in the usual Weyl calculus on $\mathbb{R}^n$, if $a(x,\xi) = \sum_{j,k} a_{jk}(x)\xi_j\xi_k$, then

$$Op^W(a) = \sum_{j,k} D_j a_{jk}(x) D_k - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{j,k}(\partial_j\partial_k a_{jk}(x)).$$

Hence, if we let p be the symbol of P as in Subsection 2.3, then we have

$$Op^W(p) = P + f,$$

where $f \in C^\infty(M_f)$ such that

$$\left|\partial_r^\alpha \partial_\theta^\beta f(r,\theta)\right| \le C_{\alpha\beta}\langle r\rangle^{-2-|\alpha|}$$

for any α, β. Thus, we can include this error term in V and we may consider $P = Op^W(p)$. On the other hand, it is easy to see $P_f = Op^W(p_f)$ on $\mathcal{H}_f$ where $p_f = \frac{1}{2}\rho^2$.

4 Egorov theorem

Let $(r_0, \rho_0, \theta_0, \omega_0) \in T^*(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \partial M)$, $\omega_0 \ne 0$, and suppose $a \in C_0^\infty(T^*(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \partial M))$ is supported in a small neighborhood of $(r_0, \rho_0, \theta_0, \omega_0)$ so that a is supported away from $\{\omega = 0\}$. We set

$$a^h(r,\rho,\theta,\omega) = a(h; hr, \rho, \theta, h\omega), \quad h > 0,$$

where a itself may depend on the parameter $h > 0$, but we suppose it is bounded uniformly in C_0^∞-topology, and supported in the same small neighborhood of $(r_0, \rho_0, \theta_0, \omega_0)$. We note the notation here is different from that of Section 2. Then we set

$$A_0 = Op^W(a^h) \quad \text{on } M.$$

We set $\varepsilon > 0$ so small that

$$\exp(tH_{p_c})(\mathrm{supp} a) \cap \{r \le \varepsilon\langle t\rangle\} = \emptyset$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We choose $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\eta(r) = 1$ for $r \geq 1$ and $\eta(r) = 0$ for $r \leq 1/2$, and we set

$$Y = \eta\big(\tfrac{hr}{\varepsilon\langle t\rangle}\big).$$

Then we define

$$A(t) = e^{itP_f/h}\mathcal{J}^* Y e^{-itP/h} A_0 e^{itP/h} Y \mathcal{J} e^{-itP_f/h}$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The purpose of this section is to obtain the symbols of $A(t)$ as a pseudodifferential operator, and to study its behavior as $t \to \pm\infty$.

We compute (formally):

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big(e^{itP/h} Y \mathcal{J} e^{-itP_f/h}\Big) = \frac{i}{h} e^{itP/h} T(t) e^{-itP_f/h},$$

where

$$T(t) = PY\mathcal{J} - Y\mathcal{J}P_f - \frac{h(hr)t}{i\varepsilon\langle t\rangle^3}\eta'\big(\tfrac{hr}{\varepsilon\langle t\rangle}\big)\mathcal{J}.$$

We further rewrite this as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\Big(e^{itP/h} Y \mathcal{J} e^{-itP_f/h}\Big) &= \frac{i}{h}\Big(e^{itP/h} Y \mathcal{J} e^{-itP_f/h}\Big)\Big(e^{itP_f/h}\mathcal{J}^* T(t) e^{-itP_f/h}\Big) \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{h} e^{itP/h}(1 - Y\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}^*) T(t) e^{-itP_f/h} \\ &= \frac{i}{h}\Big(e^{itP/h} Y \mathcal{J} e^{-itP_f/h}\Big) L(t) + R_1(t)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$L(t) = e^{itP_f/h}\mathcal{J}^* T(t) e^{-itP_f/h}, \quad R_1(t) = \frac{i}{h} e^{itP/h}(1 - Y\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}^*) T(t) e^{-itP_f/h}.$$

We now consider the symbols of $T(t)$ and $L(t)$ as pseudodifferential operators. By direct computations, it is easy to see that for any indices $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\big|\partial_r^\alpha \partial_\rho^\beta \partial_\theta^\gamma \partial_\omega^\delta \Sigma(T(t))(r,\rho,\theta,\omega)\big| \\ &\quad \leq C\Big(\langle r\rangle^{-1-\mu}\langle\rho\rangle^2 + \langle r\rangle^{-1-\mu}\langle\rho\rangle\langle\omega\rangle + \langle r\rangle^{-2}\langle\omega\rangle^2\Big)\langle r\rangle^{-|\alpha|}\langle\rho\rangle^{-|\beta|}\langle\omega\rangle^{-|\delta|}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Since $T(t)$ is supported in $\{r \geq \varepsilon\langle t\rangle/2h\}$, we may replace $\langle r\rangle$ by $\langle r\rangle + \varepsilon\langle t\rangle/2h$ in the above estimate. We also have

$$\begin{aligned}&\bigg|\partial_r^\alpha \partial_\rho^\beta \partial_\theta^\gamma \partial_\omega^\delta \Big(\Sigma(T(t)) - Y\frac{q(\theta,\omega)}{r^2}\Big)\bigg| \\ &\quad \leq C\Big(\langle r\rangle^{-1-\mu}\langle\rho\rangle^2 + \langle r\rangle^{-1-\mu}\langle\rho\rangle\langle\omega\rangle + \langle r\rangle^{-2-\mu}\langle\omega\rangle^2\Big)\langle r\rangle^{-|\alpha|}\langle\rho\rangle^{-|\beta|}\langle\omega\rangle^{-|\delta|}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, we learn

$$\left|\partial_r^\alpha\partial_\rho^\beta\partial_\theta^\gamma\partial_\omega^\delta\left(\Sigma(T(t)) - Y\frac{q(\theta,\omega)}{r^2}\right)\right| \le C\langle t\rangle^{-1-\mu-|\alpha|}h^{\mu+|\alpha|+|\delta|} \tag{4.2}$$

on $\exp(tH_{p_c})\bigl[\mathrm{supp} a^h\bigr]$, where the constant is independent of t and h.

Now we note, by virtue of the Weyl-calculus (and our choice of the quantization),

$$\Sigma(L(t))(r,\rho,\theta,\omega) = \Sigma(\mathcal{J}^*T(t))(r+(t/h)\rho,\rho,\theta,\omega).$$

Hence we have, by (4.1),

$$\begin{aligned}&\bigl|\partial_r^\alpha\partial_\rho^\beta\partial_\theta^\gamma\partial_\omega^\delta\Sigma(L(t))\bigr|\\ &\quad\le C\Bigl(\langle\tilde r\rangle^{-1-\mu}\langle\rho\rangle^2+\langle\tilde r\rangle^{-1-\mu}\langle\rho\rangle\langle\omega\rangle+\langle\tilde r\rangle^{-2}\langle\omega\rangle^2\Bigr)\langle\tilde r\rangle^{-|\alpha|}\langle\omega\rangle^{-|\delta|},\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde r = r+(t/h)\rho$. We note we take advantage of the cut-off function Y in this estimate. We also note, as well as (4.2),

$$\left|\partial_r^\alpha\partial_\rho^\beta\partial_\theta^\gamma\partial_\omega^\delta\left(\Sigma(L(t)) - \frac{q(\theta,\omega)}{\tilde r^2}\right)\right| \le C\langle t\rangle^{-1-\mu-|\alpha|}h^{\mu+|\alpha|+|\delta|} \tag{4.3}$$

on $\exp(-tH_{p_f})\circ\exp(tH_{p_c})\bigl[\mathrm{supp} a^h\bigr] = \mathrm{supp}(a^h\circ w_c(t))$.

We then construct an asymptotic solution to the Heisenberg equation:

$$\frac{d}{dt}B(t) = -\frac{i}{h}[L(t),B(t)],\quad B(0)=\mathcal{J}^*A_0\mathcal{J}. \tag{4.4}$$

Lemma 4.1. *There exists* $b^h(t;r,\rho,\theta,\omega)\in C_0^\infty(T^*M_f)$ *such that*

(i) $b^h(0)=a^h$.

(ii) $b^h(t)$ *is supported in* $w_c(t/h)^{-1}\bigl[\mathrm{supp} a^h\bigr]$.

(iii) $b^h(t)\in S(1,g_1^h)$, *and it is bounded uniformly in* $t\in\mathbb{R}$.

(iv) $b^h(t)-a^h\circ w_c(t/h)\in S(h^\mu,g_1^h)$, *i.e., the principal symbol of* $b^h(t)$ *is given by* $a^h\circ w_c(t/h)$, *and the remainder is bounded uniformly in* t.

(v) *If we set* $B(t)=Op^W(b^h(t))$, *then*

$$\left\|\frac{d}{dt}B(t)+\frac{i}{h}[L(t),B(t)]\right\|\le C_N\langle t\rangle^{-1-\mu}h^N,\quad h>0,$$

with any N.

(vi) $B(t)$ *converges to* $B_\pm$ *as* $t\to\pm\infty$ *in* $B(\mathcal{H}_f)$, *and the symbols :* $b_\pm^h := \Sigma(B_\pm)$ *satisfy*

$$b_\pm^h - a^h\circ w_{c,\pm}\in S(h^\mu,g_1^h).$$

Proof. We follow the standard procedure to construct asymptotic solutions to Heisenberg equations (see, e.g., [15] Chapter 8, [9] Chapter 4). We let

$$\ell_0(t;r,\rho,\theta,\omega)=\frac{q(\theta,\omega)}{(r+t\rho)^2}$$

be the principal symbol of $L(ht)$. If we set

$$b_0(t)=a\circ w_c(t)=a\circ\exp(-tH_{p_c})\circ\exp(tH_{p_f}),$$

then b_0 satisfies the equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}b_0(t)=-\{\ell_0(t),b_0(t)\},\quad b_0(0)=a.$$

We set $b_0^h(t;r,\rho,\theta,\omega)=b_0(t/h;hr,\rho,\theta,h\omega)$, and we also set $B_0(t)=Op^W(b_0^h(t))$. We note

$$\left|\partial_r^\alpha\partial_\rho^\beta\partial_\theta^\gamma\partial_\omega^\delta b_0^h(t;r,\rho,\theta,\omega)\right|\leq Ch^{|\alpha|+|\delta|}$$

uniformly in t with any $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta$, since $b_0(t)$ converges to $a\circ w_{c,\pm}$ as $t\to\pm\infty$. We write

$$R_0^0(t)=\frac{d}{dt}B_0(t)+\frac{i}{h}[L(t),B_0(t)],\quad r_0^0(t)=\Sigma(R_0^0(t)).$$

Then by (4.3) and the symbol calculus, $r_0^0(t)$ is supported on $w_c(t/h)^{-1}\left[\mathrm{supp} a^h\right]$ modulo $O(h^\infty)$-terms, and

$$\left|\partial_r^\alpha\partial_\rho^\beta\partial_\theta^\gamma\partial_\omega^\delta r_0^0(t)\right|\leq C\langle t\rangle^{-1-\mu-|\alpha|}h^{\mu+|\alpha|+|\delta|} \tag{4.5}$$

with any $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta$. We set $\tilde r_0^0(t)$ so that

$$\tilde r_0^0(t/h;hr,\rho,\theta,h\omega)=r_0^0(t;r,\rho,\theta,\omega),$$

and solve the transport equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}b_1(t)+\{\ell_0(t),b_1(t)\}=-\tilde r_0^0(t),\quad b_1(0)=0.$$

By (4.5), it is easy to observe

$$\left|\partial_r^\alpha\partial_\rho^\beta\partial_\theta^\gamma\partial_\omega^\delta b_1(t;r,\rho,\theta,\omega)\right|\leq Ch^\mu$$

uniformly in t. Moreover, $b_1(t)$ converges to a symbol supported in $w_{c,\pm}^{-1}\left[\mathrm{supp} a\right]$ in C_0^∞-topology as $t\to\pm\infty$. We then set

$$B_1(t)=Op^W(b_1^h(t)),\quad b_1^h(t;r,\rho,\theta,\omega)=b_1(t/h;hr,\rho,\theta,h\omega).$$

We construct b_j, $j=1,2,\dots$, iteratively, so that $b_j^h\in S(h^{j\mu},g_1^h)$, and set

$$b^h(t)\sim\sum_{j=0}^\infty b_j^h(t),\quad B(t)=Op^W(b^h(t)).$$

By the construction, $b^h(t)$ and $B(t)=Op^W(b^h(t))$ satisfy the assertion. $\square$

We then observe that $A(t)$ is very close to $B(t)$ constructed as above.

Lemma 4.2. *For any N, there is $C_N > 0$ such that*

$$\|A(t) - B(t)\| \le C_N h^N, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In particular,

$$A_\pm := \operatorname*{w-lim}_{t \to \pm\infty} A(t)$$

have the symbols $b^h_\pm$ as pseudodifferential operators.

Proof. We first observe

$$\begin{aligned}
\|A(t) - B(t)\| &= \big\| e^{itP_f/h} \mathcal{J}^* Y e^{-itP/h} A_0 e^{itP/h} Y \mathcal{J} e^{-itP_f/h} - B(t) \big\| \\
&= \big\| \mathcal{J}^* Y e^{-itP/h} A_0 e^{itP/h} Y \mathcal{J} - e^{-itP_f/h} B(t) e^{itP_f/h} \big\| \\
&\le \big\| Y \mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}^* Y e^{-itP/h} A_0 e^{itP/h} Y \mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}^* Y - Y \mathcal{J} e^{-itP_f/h} B(t) e^{itP_f/h} \mathcal{J}^* Y \big\| \\
&\le \big\| e^{-itP/h} A_0 e^{itP/h} - Y \mathcal{J} e^{-itP_f/h} B(t) e^{itP_f/h} \mathcal{J}^* Y \big\| + R_2 \\
&= \big\| A_0 - \tilde{B}(t) \big\| + R_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$R_2 = 2 \big\| (1 - Y \mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}^* Y) e^{-itP/h} A_0 \big\|$$

and

$$\tilde{B}(t) = e^{itP/h} Y \mathcal{J} e^{-itP_f/h} B(t) e^{itP_f/h} \mathcal{J}^* Y e^{-itP/h}.$$

By Corollary A.2 in Appendix A, we learn $R_2 = O(\langle t\rangle^{-N} h^N)$ with any N. We then show $\tilde{B}(t)$ is very close to A_0 uniformly in t. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{B}(t) &= \big(e^{itP/h} Y \mathcal{J} e^{-itP_f/h}\big) \frac{d}{dt} B(t) \big(e^{itP_f/h} \mathcal{J}^* Y e^{-itP/h}\big) \\
&\quad + \frac{i}{h} \big(e^{itP/h} Y \mathcal{J} e^{-itP_f/h}\big) L(t) B(t) \big(e^{itP_f/h} \mathcal{J}^* Y e^{-itP/h}\big) \\
&\quad - \frac{i}{h} \big(e^{itP/h} Y \mathcal{J} e^{-itP_f/h}\big) B(t) L(t)^* \big(e^{itP_f/h} \mathcal{J}^* Y e^{-itP/h}\big) \\
&\quad + R_1(t) B(t) \big(e^{itP_f/h} \mathcal{J}^* Y e^{-itP/h}\big) - \big(e^{itP/h} Y \mathcal{J} e^{-itP_f/h}\big) B(t) R_1(t)^* \\
&= \big(e^{itP/h} Y \mathcal{J} e^{-itP_f/h}\big) \left(\frac{d}{dt} B(t) + \frac{i}{h} [L(t), B(t)] \right) \big(e^{itP_f/h} \mathcal{J}^* Y e^{-itP/h}\big) \\
&\quad + R_3(t)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
R_3(t) &= R_1(t) B(t) \big(e^{itP_f/h} \mathcal{J}^* Y e^{-itP/h}\big) - \big(e^{itP/h} Y \mathcal{J} e^{-itP_f/h}\big) B(t) R_1(t)^* \\
&\quad + \frac{i}{h} \big(e^{itP/h} Y \mathcal{J} e^{-itP_f/h}\big) B(t) (L(t) - L(t)^*) \big(e^{itP_f/h} \mathcal{J}^* Y e^{-itP/h}\big).
\end{aligned}$$

We can show $\|R_3(t)\| = O(\langle t\rangle^{-N}h^N)$ with any N. For example,

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|R_1(t)B(t)\big(e^{itP_f/h}\mathcal{J}^*Ye^{-itP/h}\big)\big\| &\leq h^{-1}\big\|(1-Y\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}^*)T(t)e^{-itP_f/h}B(t)\big\| \\ &= h^{-1}\big\|e^{itP_f/h}(1-Y\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}^*)T(t)e^{-itP_f/h}B(t)\big\|.\end{aligned}$$

As we have seen already, $e^{itP_f/h}(1-Y\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}^*)T(t)e^{-itP_f/h}$ is a pseudodifferential operator, and the support is separated from the support of $b^h(t)$. Moreover, the distance is bounded from below by $c\langle t\rangle h^{-1}$, $c>0$. Thus the product has a vanishing symbol, and the norm is $O(\langle t\rangle^{-N}h^N)$ with any N. The other terms are estimated similarly. Combining this with Lemma 4.1-(v), we learn

$$\big\|\tfrac{d}{dt}\tilde{B}(t)\big\| \leq C_N\langle t\rangle^{-1-\mu}h^N$$

with any N, and hence $\big\|\tilde{B}(t)-\tilde{B}(0)\big\| \leq C_Nh^N$. We note

$$\tilde{B}(0) = \eta\big(\tfrac{hr}{\varepsilon}\big)\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}^*A_0\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}^*\eta\big(\tfrac{hr}{\varepsilon}\big) = A_0 + O(h^N)$$

by the choice of $\varepsilon>0$. Combining these, we conclude the assertion. □

5 Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

Let $(r_0,\rho_0,\theta_0,\omega_0)\in T^*(\mathbb{R}_+\times\partial M)$, and suppose $\omega_0\neq 0$ as in the last section. Also we let $a\in C_0^\infty(T^*(\mathbb{R}_+\times\partial M))$ be supported in a small neighborhood of $(r_0,\rho_0,\theta_0,\omega_0)$ and we set

$$A_0 = Op^W(a^h), \quad a^h(r,\rho,\theta,\omega) = a(hr,\rho,\theta,h\omega).$$

Let $\varepsilon>0$ also as in the last section. We write

$$(r_\pm,\rho_\pm,\theta_\pm,\omega_\pm) = w_{c,\pm}^{-1}(r_0,\rho_0,\theta_0,\omega_0)$$

as in Section 2, and we recall $w_{c,\pm}$ are diffeomorphisms from $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}_\pm\times(T^*\partial M\setminus 0)$ to $\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}\times(T^*\partial M\setminus 0)$. We also note

$$E_0 = p_c(r_0,\rho_0,\theta_0,\omega_0) = \frac{1}{2}\rho_\pm^2 > 0$$

by the conservation of the energy.

Lemma 5.1. *If $\delta > 2\varepsilon^2$, then*

$$\operatorname*{w-lim}_{t\to\pm\infty}\eta(P_f/\delta)A(t)\eta(P_f/\delta) = \eta(P_f/\delta)W_\pm^*A_0W_\pm\eta(P_f/\delta).$$

Proof. It is easy to show by the stationary phase method that

$$\operatorname*{s-lim}_{t\to\pm\infty}\big(1-\eta\big(\tfrac{hr}{\varepsilon\langle t\rangle}\big)\big)\mathcal{J}e^{-itP_f/h}\eta(P_f/\delta) = 0$$

(for fixed h), since the stationary points (in ρ) satisfy $hr = t\rho$. Now this implies

$$\operatorname*{s-lim}_{t\to\pm\infty} e^{itP/h}Y\mathcal{J}e^{-itP_f/h}\eta(P_f/\delta) = W_\pm\eta(P_f/\delta)$$

and the claim follows immediately. □

This implies, combined with Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2:

Lemma 5.2. *Let* A_0 *as above. Then* $W_\pm^* A_0 W_\pm$ *are pseudodifferential operators with the symbols* $b_\pm^h$ *given in Lemma 4.1. In particular,* $\Sigma(W_\pm^* A_0 W_\pm)$ *are supported in* $w_{c,\pm}^{-1}[\mathrm{supp} a^h]$ *modulo* $O(h^\infty)$*-terms, and the principal symbols (modulo* $S(h^\mu, g_1^h)$*) are given by* $a^h \circ w_{c,\pm}$*.*

For the moment, we set

$$\rho_0 = 0, \quad \text{and hence} \quad r_\pm = 0.$$

Then we may take $\varepsilon = \sqrt{E_0}$ provided a is supported in a sufficiently small neighborhood of $(r_0, 0, \theta_0, \omega_0)$.

Now let us consider $(0, \rho_-, \theta_-, \omega_-)$ (with $\omega_- \neq 0$, $\rho_- > 2\varepsilon$) is given, and $(0, \rho_0, \theta_0, \omega_0)$ is set by $w_{c,-}(0, \rho_-, \theta_-, \omega_-) = (0, \rho_0, \theta_0, \omega_0)$. The converse of Lemma 5.2 is given as follows:

Lemma 5.3. *Let* $\tilde{a} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_- \times (T^*\partial M \setminus 0))$ *be supported in a small neighborhood of* $(0, \rho_-, \theta_-, \omega_-)$*, and let*

$$\tilde{A} = Op^W(\tilde{a}^h), \quad \tilde{a}^h(r, \rho, \theta, \omega) = \tilde{a}(hr, \rho, \theta, h\omega).$$

Then there is a symbol a_0^h *supported in* $w_{c,-}[\mathrm{supp}\tilde{a}^h]$ *such that for any* $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$*,*

$$f(P) A_0 f(P) = W_- f(P_f) \tilde{A} f(P_f) W_-^*,$$

where $A_0 = Op^W(a_0^h)$*. Moreover, the principal symbol (modulo* $S(h^\mu, g_1^h)$*) is give by* $\tilde{a}^h \circ w_{c,-}^{-1}$*.*

Proof. We set $a_{0,0}^h = \tilde{a}^h \circ w_{c,-}^{-1}$. Then by Lemma 5.2, we have

$$a_{-,1}^h := \Sigma(\tilde{A} - W_-^* Op^W(a_{0,0}^h) W_-) \in S(h^\mu, g_1^h),$$

and it is supported in $\mathrm{supp}[\tilde{a}^h]$ modulo $O(h^\infty)$-terms. Then we set $a_{0,1} = a_{-,1}^h \circ w_{c,-}^{-1}$, and set

$$a_{-,2}^h := \Sigma(\tilde{A} - W_-^* Op^W(a_{0,0}^h + a_{0,1}^h) W_-) \in S(h^{2\mu}, g_1^h).$$

We construct $a_{-,j}^h$, $j = 2, 3, \dots$, iteratively by

$$a_{-,j}^h := \Sigma(\tilde{A} - W_-^* Op^W(a_{0,0}^h + \dots + a_{0,j-1}^h) W_-) \in S(h^{j\mu}, g_1^h),$$

$a_{0,j}^h = a_{-,j}^h \circ w_{c,-}^{-1}$, and we set $a_0^h \sim \sum_{j=0}^\infty a_{0,j}^h$ as an asymptotic sum. Then we have

$$\tilde{A} = W_-^* A_0 W_-$$

modulo $S(h^\infty \langle r \rangle^{-\infty} \langle \omega \rangle^{-\infty}, g_1)$-terms. We note, since there are no positive eigenvalues (see [8]. See also [11]), we also have $W_\pm f(P_f) W_\pm^* = f(P)$ by virtue of the intertwining property and the asymptotic completeness ([6]). These imply

$$W_-f(P_f)\tilde{A}f(P_f)W_-^* = W_-f(P_f)W_-^*A_0W_-f(P_f)W_-^* = f(P)A_0f(P),$$

and this implies the assertion. □

We note Lemma 5.3 naturally holds for $w_{c,+}$ instead of $w_{c,-}$, but we only use the above case. By Lemma 5.3, we learn

$$Sf(P_f)\tilde{A}f(P_f)S^* = W_+^*f(P)A_0f(P)W_+ = f(P_f)(W_+^*A_0W_+)f(P_f).$$

By Lemma 5.2, $W_+^*A_0W_+$ is a pseudodifferential operator. By choosing $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ so that $f(\rho^2/2) = 1$ in a neighborhood of the support of $\tilde{a}$, we may omit $f(P_f)$ factors up to negligible terms. Thus, $S\tilde{A}S^*$ is a pseudodifferential operator with the symbol supported in $s_c[\mathrm{supp}\tilde{a}^h]$, and the principal symbol is given by $\tilde{a}^h \circ s_c^{-1}$, where $\tilde{a}$ is the symbol given in Lemma 5.3, i.e., $\tilde{a}$ is supported in a small neighborhood of $(0, \rho_-, \theta_-, \omega_-)$.

We note, by the intertwining property of the scattering operator,

$$e^{-itP_f}S = Se^{-itP_f}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This in turn implies

$$T_\tau S = ST_\tau, \quad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{where } T_\tau = \exp(-i\tau\sqrt{2P_f}).$$

On the other hand, $\sqrt{2P_f} = \mp i\frac{\partial}{\partial r}$ on $\mathcal{H}_{f,\pm}$, and hence T_τ are translations with respect to r. More precisely, we have

$$T_\tau u_\pm(r,\theta) = u_\pm(r \mp \tau, \theta) \quad \text{for } u_\pm \in \mathcal{H}_{f,\pm}.$$

We learn from these that

$$S(T_\tau\tilde{A}T_\tau^*)S^* = T_\tau(S\tilde{A}S^*)T_\tau^*,$$

and that the symbols of $T_\tau\tilde{A}T_\tau^*$ and $T_\tau(S\tilde{A}S^*)T_\tau^*$ are given by $\tilde{a}^h(r + \tau, \rho, \theta, \omega)$ and $\Sigma(S\tilde{A}S^*)(r + \tau, \rho, \theta, \omega)$, respectively. Using this observation, we may replace $\tilde{a}$ by a symbol supported in a small neighborhood $(r_-, \rho_-, \theta_-, \omega_-)$ with arbitrary $r_- \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus we have proved:

Lemma 5.4. *Let $a \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_- \times (T^*\partial M \setminus 0))$ be supported in a small neighborhood of $(r_-, \rho_-, \theta_-, \omega_-)$ with $|\rho_-| \geq 2\varepsilon$, and let*

$$\tilde{A} = Op^W(a^h), \quad a^h(r, \rho, \theta, \omega) = a(hr, \rho, \theta, h\omega).$$

Then $S\tilde{A}S^*$ is a pseudodifferential operator with a symbol supported in $s_c[\mathrm{supp} a^h]$ modulo $O(h^\infty)$-terms, and the principal symbol (modulo $S(h^\mu, g_1^h)$) is given by $a^h \circ s_c^{-1}$.

Here we have used the formula:

$$s_c(r,\rho,\theta,\omega)=(-r,-\rho,\exp(\pi H_{\sqrt{2q}})(\theta,\omega)).$$

We set $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{f,\pm}=\mathcal{F}\mathcal{H}_{f,\pm}$. Then $\mathcal{F}S\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ is a unitary map from $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{f,-}$ to $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{f,+}$. For notational simplicity, we set

$$\Pi u(r,\theta)=u(-r,\theta)\quad \text{for } u\in\mathcal{H}_{f,\pm},$$

so that $\mathcal{F}(S\Pi)\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ is a unitary map on $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{f,+}$. By the intertwining property above, $\mathcal{F}(S\Pi)\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ commutes with functions of ρ, and hence is decomposed so that

$$\mathcal{F}(S\Pi)\mathcal{F}^{-1}u(\rho,\omega)=(S(\rho^2/2)u(\rho,\cdot))(\omega)\quad \text{on } \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{f,+}\cong L^2(\mathbb{R}_+;L^2(\partial M)),$$

where $S(\lambda)\in B(L^2(\partial M))$ is the scattering matrix.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We recall the semiclassical type characterization of the wave front set: Let $g(\rho,\theta)\in\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}_+\times\partial M)$, and let $(\rho_0,\theta_0,r_0,\omega_0)\in T^*(\mathbb{R}_+\times\partial M)$. $(\rho_0,\theta_0,r_0,\omega_0)\notin WF(g)$ if and only if there is $a\in C_0^\infty(T^*(\mathbb{R}_+\times\partial M))$ such that $a(\rho_0,\theta_0,r_0,\omega_0)\neq 0$ and

$$\big\|a(\rho,\theta,hD_\rho,hD_\theta)g\big\|=O(h^\infty)\quad \text{as } h\to+0.$$

We may replace a by an h-dependent symbol with a principal symbol which does not vanish at $(\rho_0,\theta_0,r_0,\omega_0)$.

We fix $\lambda_0=\rho_0^2/2$ with $\rho_0>2\varepsilon$ and consider $S(\lambda)$ where λ is in a small neighborhood of λ_0. Let $u\in L^2(\partial M)$ and let $v\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ supported in a small neighborhood of λ_0. Then it is easy to see

$$WF(v(\rho)u(\theta))=\{(\rho,\theta,0,\omega)\,|\,\rho\in\operatorname{supp}v,(\theta,\omega)\in WF(u)\}.$$

Then, by Lemma 5.4 and the above characterization of the wave front set, we learn

$$\begin{aligned}WF(\mathcal{F}(S\Pi)\mathcal{F}^{-1}v(\rho)u(\theta))&=(1\otimes\exp(\pi H_{\sqrt{2q}}))WF(v(\rho)u(\theta))\\&=\{(\rho,\theta,0,\omega)\,|\,\rho\in\operatorname{supp}v,(\theta,\omega)\in\exp(\pi H_{\sqrt{2q}})WF(u)\}\end{aligned}$$

(cf. [13]). By the definition of the scattering matrix, this implies

$$WF(S(\lambda)u)\subset\exp(\pi H_{\sqrt{2q}})WF(u)$$

for $\lambda\in\operatorname{supp}v$. Since this argument works for S^{-1} also, the above inclusion is actually an equality, and we conclude Theorem 1.1. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Here we suppose $\mu=1$. Then by Lemma 5.4 and the Beals-type characterization of FIOs (Appendix B, Theorem B.1), we learn $\mathcal{F}(S\Pi)\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ is an FIO associated to $1\otimes\exp(\pi H_{\sqrt{2q}})$ on $\big\{(\rho,\theta,r,\omega)\,\big|\,\omega\neq 0\big\}$. Since $\mathcal{F}(S\Pi)\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ is decomposed to $\{S(\lambda)\}$, this implies $S(\lambda)$ are FIOs on ∂M associated to the canonical transform $\exp(\pi H_{\sqrt{2q}})$ (cf. Appendix B, Proposition B.4). □

6 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Here we discuss how to generalize the proof of Theorem 1.2 to conclude Theorem 1.3.

We first modify the Egorov theorem type argument in Section 4. Let $(r_0, \rho_0, \theta_0, \omega_0) \in T^*M_\infty$, $\omega_0 \neq 0$, and let Ω_0 be a small neighborhood of $(r_0, \rho_0, \theta_0, \omega_0)$. We suppose $a \in C_0^\infty(T^*M_\infty)$ is supported in Ω_0, and we consider the behavior of $A(t)$ as in Section 4. We set

$$w^*(t) = \exp(-itH_{p_f}) \circ \exp(tH_p),$$

which is well-defined for $X \in T^*M_\infty$ as long as $\exp(tH_p)(X) \in T^*M_\infty$. By the discussion in the proof of Theorem 2.2, this condition is always satisfied if $X = (r, \rho, \theta, \omega) \in \Omega_0^h$ and h is sufficiently small. We set

$$w(t) = w^*(t)^{-1} = \exp(-tH_p) \circ \exp(tH_{p_f})$$

on the range of $w(t)$. We note

$$w_\pm^* = \lim_{t \to \pm\infty} w^*(t)$$

on Ω_0^h with sufficiently small h, and

$$w_\pm = \lim_{t \to \pm\infty} w(t)$$

on $w_\pm^{-1}[\Omega_0^h]$ with sufficiently small h. Convergence of these maps holds in the C^∞-topology.

We replace Lemma 4.1 by the following slightly different statement:

Lemma 6.1. *There exists $b^h(t; r, \rho, \theta, \omega) \in C_0^\infty(T^*M_f)$ such that*

(i) $b^h(0) = a^h$.

(ii) $b^h(t)$ *is supported in* $w^*(t)\big[\mathrm{supp} a^h\big]$.

(iii) $b^h(t) \in S(1, g_1^h)$, *and it is bounded uniformly in* $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

(iv) $b^h(t) - a^h \circ w(t) \in S(h, g_1^h)$, *i.e., the principal symbol of* $b^h(t)$ *is given by* $a^h \circ w(t)$, *and the remainder is bounded uniformly in* t.

(v) *If we set* $B(t) = Op^W(b^h(t))$, *then*

$$\left\| \frac{d}{dt} B(t) + \frac{i}{h}[L(t), B(t)] \right\| \le C_N \langle t \rangle^{-1-\mu} h^N, \quad h > 0,$$

with any N.

(vi) $B(t)$ *converges to* $B_\pm$ *as* $t \to \pm\infty$ *in* $B(\mathcal{H}_f)$, *and the symbols :* $b_\pm^h := \Sigma(B_\pm)$ *satisfy*

$$b_\pm^h - a^h \circ w_\pm \in S(h, g_1^h).$$

We note that $w(t)$ is not homogeneous in (r,ω)-variables, but very close to a homogeneous map when $|(r,\omega)|$ is very large thanks to Theorem 2.2.

In order to prove Lemma 6.1, we set

$$b_0^h(t)=a^h\circ w(t)=a\circ\exp(-tH_p)\circ\exp(tH_{p_f}),$$

which is supported in $w^*(t)[\Omega_0^h]$. We have $b_0^h(t)\in S(1,g_1^h)$ uniformly in t (for small h) again by Theorem 2.2. Moreover, b_0^h satisfies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}b_0^h(t)=-h^{-1}\{\ell(t),b_0^h(t)\}$$

where $\ell(t)=\Sigma(L(t))$. Hence the first remainder term $r_0^0(t)$ (as defined in Section 4) satisfies

$$\left|\partial_r^\alpha\partial_\rho^\beta\partial_\theta^\gamma\partial_\omega^\delta r_0^0(t)\right|\leq C\langle t\rangle^{-1-\mu-|\alpha|}h^{1+|\alpha|+|\delta|}$$

with any indices $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta$. Then we construct the asymptotic solution as in the proof of Lemma 4.1 by solving transport equations:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}b_j^h(t)+h^{-1}\{\ell(t),b_j^h(t)\}=-r_j^h(t),\quad j=0,1,2,\dots,$$

and we conclude Lemma 6.1. $\square$

Lemma 4.2 holds when the construction of $B(t)$ is replaced as above, with no modifications. Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3 holds in the following form. The proofs are the same.

Lemma 6.2. *Let A_0 as above. Then $W_\pm^*A_0W_\pm$ are pseudodifferential operators with the symbols $b_\pm^h$ given in Lemma 6.1. In particular, $\Sigma(W_\pm^*A_0W_\pm)$ are supported in $w_\pm^{-1}[\mathrm{supp}a^h]$ modulo $O(h^\infty)$-terms, and the principal symbols (modulo $S(h,g_1^h)$) are given by $a^h\circ w_\pm$.*

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\tilde a\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}_-\times(T^*\partial M\setminus 0))$ be supported in a small neighborhood of $(0,\rho_-,\theta_-,\omega_-)$, and let*

$$\tilde A=Op^W(\tilde a^h),\quad \tilde a^h(r,\rho,\theta,\omega)=\tilde a(hr,\rho,\theta,h\omega).$$

Then $W_-\tilde AW_-^*$ is a pseudodifferential operator with a symbol supported in $w_-[\mathrm{supp}\tilde a^h]$, and the principal symbol (modulo $S(h,g_1^h)$) is give by $\tilde a^h\circ w_-^*$.

Combining these, we learn (as in Section 5) the following assertion.

Lemma 6.4. *Let $a\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}_-\times(T^*\partial M\setminus 0))$ be supported in a small neighborhood of $(r_-,\rho_-,\theta_-,\omega_-)$ with $|\rho_-|\geq 2\varepsilon$, $\omega_-\neq 0$, and let*

$$\tilde A=Op^W(a^h),\quad a^h(r,\rho,\theta,\omega)=a(hr,\rho,\theta,h\omega).$$

Then $S\tilde AS^*$ is a pseudodifferential operator with a symbol supported in $s[\mathrm{supp}a^h]$ modulo $O(h^\infty)$-terms, and the principal symbol (modulo $S(h,g_1^h)$) is given by $a^h\circ s^{-1}$.

In the following, we consider $(r, \rho, \theta, \omega) \in \Omega_0^h$ with some Ω_0 and sufficiently small h, or equivalently, when $|\omega|$ is sufficiently large. By the conservation of energy (or equivalently, by the invariance in the shift in r), the classical scattering operator has the following form:

$$s(r, \rho, \theta, \omega) = (-r + g(\rho, \theta, \omega), -\rho, s(\lambda)(\theta, \omega)), \tag{6.1}$$

where $\lambda = \rho^2/2$ and $s(\lambda)$ is a canonical transform on $T^*\partial M$ for each $\lambda > 0$. (We note that without $g(\rho, \theta, \omega)$, the map s is not necessarily canonical.) Moreover, by Theorem 2.1, we have for any indices α, β, γ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\partial_\rho^\alpha \partial_\theta^\beta \partial_\omega^\gamma g(\rho, \theta, \omega)\right| &\leq C h^{-1+\mu+|\gamma|}, \\ \left|\partial_\rho^\alpha \partial_\theta^\beta \partial_\omega^\gamma s_1(\rho, \theta, \omega)\right| &\leq C h^{\mu+|\gamma|}, \\ \left|\partial_\rho^\alpha \partial_\theta^\beta \partial_\omega^\gamma s_2(\rho, \theta, \omega)\right| &\leq C h^{-1+\mu+|\gamma|}, \end{aligned}$$

on Ω_0^h, where Ω_0 is a small neighborhood of $(0, \rho_-, \theta_-, \omega_-)$, and s_1, s_2 are defined by

$$(s_1(\rho, \theta, \omega), s_2(\rho, \theta, \omega)) = s(\lambda)(\theta, \omega) - \exp(\pi H_{\sqrt{2q}})(\theta, \omega),$$

i.e., s_1 denotes the θ-components of the RHS terms, and s_2 denotes the ω-components. These estimates imply s is an asymptotically homogeneous (in (r, ω)-variables) in the sense of [7] Section 4.

In general, an operator U with the distribution kernel u is called an FIO of order m associated to an asymptotically homogeneous canonical transform S if u is a Lagrangian distribution associated to

$$\Sigma_S := \bigl\{(x, y, \xi, -\eta) \bigm| (x, \xi) = S(y, \eta)\bigr\},$$

i.e., for any $a_1, \dots, a_N \in S_{cl}^1$ such that a_j vanishes on Σ_S for each j, $Op(a_1) \cdots Op(a_N) u \in B_{2,\infty}^{-m-n/2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ ([7]). The Beals type characterization of FIOs discussed in Appendix B hold for such FIOs without any change.

By Lemma 6.4 and the analogue of Corollary B.2, we learn S is an FIO associated to the classical scattering map s. Moreover, by Proposition B.4, we learn that the scattering matrix $S(\lambda)$ is an FIO associated to $s(\lambda)$, where $s(\lambda)$ is defined by (6.1) and it is asymptotic to $\exp(\pi H_{\sqrt{2q}})$. Thus we have proved the following slightly more precise version of Theorem 1.3:

Theorem 6.5. *Suppose Assumption A. Then for each $\lambda > 0$, $S(\lambda)$ is an FIO associated to $s(\lambda)$ defined by* (6.1)*. The canonical map $s(\lambda)$ on $T^*\partial M$ is asymptotically homogeneous in ω, asymptotic to $\exp(\pi H_{\sqrt{2q}})$ with the error of $O(|\omega|^{1-\mu})$.*

A Local decay estimates

Let P be as in Section 1. For a symbol a, we denote $a^h(r,\rho,\theta,\omega)=a(hr,\rho,\theta,h\omega)$. Then we have the following:

Theorem A.1. *Let* $(r_0,\rho_0,\theta_0,\omega_0)\in T^*M_\infty\cong T^*\mathbb{R}_+\times T^*\partial M$*, and suppose* $\omega_0\neq 0$*. We denote the* ε*-neighborhood of* $(r_0,\rho_0,\theta_0,\omega_0)$ *by* Ω_ε*. We suppose* $\varepsilon>0$ *so small that* $\Omega_{2\varepsilon}\Subset T^*\mathbb{R}_+\times(T^*\partial M\setminus 0)$*. If* $a\in C_0^\infty(T^*M_\infty)$ *is real-valued, and supported in* Ω_ε*, then there is an* h*-dependent symbol:* $b(t)\in C_0^\infty(T^*M_\infty)$ *for any* $t\in\mathbb{R}$ *such that*

(i) $|a(r,\rho,\theta,\omega)|\leq c_1 b(0;r,\rho,\theta,\omega)$ *with some* $c_1>0$*.*

(ii) $b(t)$ *is supported in* $\Omega(t):=\exp(tH_{p_c})[\Omega_{2\varepsilon}]$ *for* $t\in\mathbb{R}$*.*

(iii) *For any indices* α,β,γ *and* δ*, there is* $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}>0$ *such that*

$$\left|\partial_r^\alpha\partial_\rho^\beta\partial_\theta^\gamma\partial_\omega^\delta b(t,r,\rho,\theta,\omega)\right|\leq C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta},\quad (r,\rho,\theta,\omega)\in T^*M_\infty, t\in\mathbb{R}.$$

(iv) *There is* $R(t)\in B(L^2(M))$ *such that* $\|R(t)\|\leq C_N h^N$ *for any* N*, and*

$$e^{-itP/h}Op^W(a^h)e^{itP/h}\leq c_1 Op^W(b^h(t))+R(t)$$

for $t>0$*, and the reverse inequality for* $t<0$*. Moreover,* $R(t)$ *satisfies*

$$\left\|K^N R(t)K^N\right\|_{B(L^2)}\leq C_N h^N,\quad t\in\mathbb{R}$$

for any N*, where* $K(\cdot)=\langle\operatorname{dist}(\cdot,\Omega^h(t))\rangle$ *with*

$$\operatorname{supp}[b^h(t)]\subset\Omega^h(t):=\bigl\{(r,\rho,\theta,\omega)\bigm|(hr,\rho,\theta,h\omega)\in\Omega(t)\bigr\}.$$

Before proving Theorem A.1, we present a corollary which is needed in Section 4.

Corollary A.2. *Let* $\bar\eta\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *be such that* $\bar\eta(r)=0$ *if* $r>2$*, and* $\bar\eta(r)=1$ *if* $r\leq 1$*. We choose* $\varepsilon_1>0$ *so small that*

$$\operatorname{dist}\bigl(\bigl\{(r,\rho,\theta,\omega)\bigm||r|\leq\varepsilon_1\langle t\rangle\bigr\},\Omega(t)\bigr)\geq\delta\langle t\rangle$$

with some $\delta>0$*. Then for any* N *there is* $C_N>0$ *such that*

$$\bigl\|\bar\eta\bigl(\tfrac{hr}{\varepsilon_1\langle t\rangle}\bigr)e^{-itP/h}Op(a^h)\bigr\|\leq C_N h^N\langle t\rangle^{-N},\quad t\in\mathbb{R}.$$

We note if $\varepsilon>0$ is chosen sufficiently small, then we can find $\varepsilon_1>0$ satisfying the above property.

Proof of Corollary A.2. We apply Theorem A.1 with $\tilde{a}$ such that $Op^W(\tilde{a}) = Op^W(a)Op^W(a)^*$, which satisfies the same condition. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\bar{\eta}\big(\tfrac{hr}{\varepsilon_1\langle t\rangle}\big)e^{-itP/h}Op(a^h)\right|^2 &= \bar{\eta}\big(\tfrac{hr}{\varepsilon_1\langle t\rangle}\big)e^{-itP/h}Op(\tilde{a}^h)e^{itP/h}\bar{\eta}\big(\tfrac{hr}{\varepsilon_1\langle t\rangle}\big)\\ &\le c_1\bar{\eta}\big(\tfrac{hr}{\varepsilon_1\langle t\rangle}\big)Op(b^h(t))\bar{\eta}\big(\tfrac{hr}{\varepsilon_1\langle t\rangle}\big) + \bar{\eta}\big(\tfrac{hr}{\varepsilon_1\langle t\rangle}\big)R(t)\bar{\eta}\big(\tfrac{hr}{\varepsilon_1\langle t\rangle}\big)\\ &\le C_N h^N\langle t\rangle^{-N},\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $\mathrm{supp}[b^h(t)]$ is separated from $\Omega^h(t)$ with the distance bounded from below by $\delta\langle t/h\rangle$. □

Proof of Theorem A.1. The proof is analogous to that of [13], [4] and [5] Section 3, and we only sketch the main steps. We may suppose a is non negative without loss of generality. If we set

$$\psi(t) = a\circ\exp(tH_{p_c})^{-1},$$

then it is easy to see

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi = -\{p_c,\psi\},\quad \psi(0) = a,$$

and this is a good candidate for the principal term of $b(t)$, but ψ does not satisfy the boundedness of the derivatives uniformly in t. We choose $\varphi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ so that

$$\mathrm{supp}\varphi\subset[-1,1],\quad \varphi(t)\ge 0 \text{ for all } t,\quad \int_{-1}^{1}\varphi(t)dt = 1,$$

and moreover, $\pm\varphi'(t)\le 0$ for $\pm t\ge 0$. We set

$$\varphi_\nu(t) = \varphi(t/\nu),\quad \text{for } \nu > 0,$$

and we denote the convolution in the t-variable by "$\underset{t}{*}$". Then we set

$$b_0(t,\cdot) = \varphi_{\delta\langle t\rangle}\underset{t}{*}\psi = \int\varphi_{\delta\langle t\rangle}(t-s)\psi(s,\cdot)ds$$

with sufficiently small $\delta > 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}b_0 &= \int\partial_t(\varphi_{\delta\langle t\rangle}(t-s))\psi(s,\cdot)ds\\ &= -\int\frac{t(t-s)}{\delta\langle t\rangle^3}\varphi'((t-s)/\delta\langle t\rangle)\psi(s,\cdot)ds + \varphi_{\delta\langle t\rangle}\underset{t}{*}(\partial_t\psi)\\ &\ge -\varphi_{\delta\langle t\rangle}\underset{t}{*}\{p_c,\psi\} = -\{p_c,b_0(t,\cdot)\}\end{aligned}\tag{A.1}$$

for $t > 0$ by the condition of φ. We have the reverse inequality for $t < 0$.

We then show the derivatives of b_0 satisfies the required uniform boundedness. We first note

$$\tilde{\psi}(t;r,\rho,\theta,\omega) := \psi(t;r+t\rho,\rho,\theta,\omega) \to a \circ w_\pm \quad (t \to \pm\infty)$$

in the C_0^∞-topology, by virtue of the existence of the classical scattering for p_c. Thus we have the representation:

$$\psi(t;r,\rho,\theta,\omega) = \tilde{\psi}(t;r-t\rho,\rho,\theta,\omega)$$

with $\tilde{\psi}(t)$ uniformly bounded in $C_0^\infty(T^*M)$. Hence we learn that the derivatives in variables except for ρ are uniformly bounded. Then this property applies also for $b_0(t)$. Let us consider the first derivative of $b_0(t)$ in ρ:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\rho b_0(t) = &-\int \varphi_{\delta\langle t\rangle}(t-s)s(\partial_r\tilde{\psi})(s,r-s\rho,\rho,\theta,\omega)ds\\ &+\int \varphi_{\delta\langle t\rangle}(t-s)(\partial_\rho\tilde{\psi})(s,r-s\rho,\rho,\theta,\omega)ds.\end{aligned}$$

The second term is clearly uniformly bounded. We note

$$(\partial_r\tilde{\psi})(s;r-s\rho,\rho,\theta,\omega) = -\frac{1}{\rho}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}[\tilde{\psi}(s;r-s\rho,\rho,\theta,\omega)]-(\partial_s\tilde{\psi})(s;r-s\rho,\rho,\theta,\omega)\right\}$$

and then by integration by parts we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int \varphi_{\delta\langle t\rangle}(t-s)s(\partial_r\tilde{\psi})(s,r-s\rho,\rho,\theta,\omega)ds\\ &\quad=\frac{1}{\rho}\int \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\{\varphi((t-s)/\delta\langle t\rangle)s\}\tilde{\psi}(s,r-s\rho,\rho,\theta,\omega)ds\\ &\quad\quad+\frac{1}{\rho}\int \varphi((t-s)/\delta\langle t\rangle)s(\partial_s\tilde{\psi})(s;r-s\rho,\rho,\theta,\omega)ds\\ &\quad=\frac{1}{\rho}\int \varphi((t-s)/\delta\langle t\rangle)\tilde{\psi}(s,r-s\rho,\rho,\theta,\omega)ds\\ &\quad\quad-\frac{1}{\rho}\int \frac{s}{\delta\langle t\rangle}\varphi'((t-s)/\delta\langle t\rangle)\tilde{\psi}(s,r-s\rho,\rho,\theta,\omega)ds\\ &\quad\quad+\frac{1}{\rho}\int \varphi((t-s)/\delta\langle t\rangle)s(\partial_s\tilde{\psi})(s;r-s\rho,\rho,\theta,\omega)ds\end{aligned}$$

Each term in the last expression is bounded uniformly in t since $s \sim t$, and $\partial_s\tilde{\psi} = O(\langle s\rangle^{-2})$. Repeating this procedure, we can show that all the derivatives of b_0 are uniformly bounded. It is also easy to check that b_0 satisfies the required support property provided a is supported in a sufficiently small neighborhood, and $\delta > 0$ is chosen sufficiently small.

Now by (A.1) and the sharp Gårding inequality, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}Op^W(b_0^h(t)) \geq -\frac{i}{h}[P, Op^W(b_0^h(t))] + Op(r_1^h(t))$$

with $r_1(t) = O(h^\mu)$. We set $c_j = 7/4 - 2^{-j}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots$, and set

$$a_j(r, \rho, \theta, \omega) = a\left(\frac{r}{c_j}, \frac{\rho}{c_j}, \frac{\theta}{c_j}, \frac{\omega}{c_j}\right), \quad b_j(t) = \varphi_{\delta\langle t\rangle} \underset{t}{*} (a_j \circ \exp(tH_{p_c})).$$

Then we set

$$b(t) \sim b_0(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j b_j(t),$$

with appropriately chosen constants $\mu_j > 0$ so that

$$\frac{d}{dt} Op^W(b^h(t)) \geq -\frac{i}{h}[P, Op^W(b^h(t))] + O(h^\infty),$$

and $b(t)$ satisfies all the required properties. We refer to [13] and [5] for the detail of the above construction. □

B Beals type characterization of Fourier integral operators

In this appendix, we consider operators on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and we discuss Beals type characterization of FIOs in terms of h-pseudodifferential operators. We use the result for scattering manifolds, but the generalization is straightforward, and we omit it. Most of the arguments here are similar to [7] Section 2, and we mainly discuss the modifications necessary to show our results.

We let S be a canonical diffeomorphism on $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$, which is also supposed to be homogeneous in ξ-variables, i.e.,

$$\text{if } (y, \eta) = S(x, \xi), \text{ then } S(x, \lambda\xi) = (y, \lambda\eta) \text{ for } \lambda > 0.$$

We also let $U \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}')$, and let $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ be its distribution kernel. For a symbol $a \in C^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^n)$, we denote

$$a^h(x, \xi) = a(x, h\xi), \quad Op^W(a^h) = a^W(x, hD_x)$$

for $h > 0$ as before. For $a \in C_0^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 0)$, we define

$$Ad_S(a^h)U = Op^W(a^h \circ S^{-1})U - U Op^W(a^h) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}').$$

We note $Op^W(a^h \circ S^{-1}) = Op^W((a \circ S^{-1})^h)$ since S is homogeneous in ξ.

Theorem B.1. *Let $U \in B(L^2_{cpt}(\mathbb{R}^n), L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Suppose for any $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N \in C_0^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 0)$, there is $C_N > 0$ such that*

$$\left\| Ad_S(a_1^h) Ad_S(a_2^h) \cdots Ad_S(a_N^h) U \right\|_{B(L^2)} \leq C_N h^N. \tag{B.1}$$

Then U is an FIO of order 0 associated to S.

Corollary B.2. *Let S and U as above. If for any $a \in C_0^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 0)$ there is an h-dependent symbol $b \in C_0^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 0)$ such that:*

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta b(h;x,\xi)\right| \le C_{\alpha\beta} h, \quad \text{for any } \alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n,\ h \in (0,1],\\ &Ad_S(a^h)U = Op^W(b^h)U + R, \quad \|R\|_{B(L^2)} = O(h^\infty),\end{aligned}$$

then U is an FIO of order 0 associated to S.

Proof of Corollary B.2. We show (B.1) follows from the above condition. The cases $N = 0, 1$ are obvious. Let $N = 2$ and we write

$$Ad_S(a_j^h)U = Op^W(b_j^h)U + R_j, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&Ad_S(a_1^h)Ad_S(a_2^h)U\\ &= Op^W(a_1^h \circ S^{-1})Op^W(b_2^h)U - Op^W(b_2^W)UOp^W(a_1^h) + Ad_S(a_1^h)R_2\\ &= [Op^W(a_1^h \circ S^{-1}), Op^W(b_2^h)]U + Op^W(b_2^h)Op^W(b_1^h)U\\ &\quad + Ad_S(a_1^h)R_2 + Op^W(b_2^h)R_1\\ &= Op^W(b_{12}^h)U + R_{12},\end{aligned}$$

where $R_{12} = O(h^\infty)$ and $b_{12} \in C_0^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 0)$ satisfies

$$\left|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta b_{12}(h;x,\xi)\right| \le C'_{\alpha\beta} h^2, \quad \text{for any } \alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n,\ h \in (0,1],$$

and (B.1) for $N = 2$ follows. Iterating this procedure, we obtain (B.1) for any N. $\square$

In order to prove Theorem B.1, we first note the semiclassical type characterization of Besov spaces. By the standard partition-of-unity argument, it is straightforward to observe that $u \in B_{2,loc}^{\sigma,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ if and only if for any $(x_0,\xi_0) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^m \setminus 0$ there is $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $\varphi(x_0,\xi_0) \ne 0$ and

$$\|Op^W(\varphi^h)u\|_{L^2} \le Ch^\sigma, \quad h > 0.$$

Thus, in turn, we learn $u \in B_{2,loc}^{\sigma,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ if and only if for any (x_0,y_0,ξ_0,η_0), $(\xi_0,\eta_0) \ne (0,0)$, there are $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\varphi_1(x_0,\xi_0) \ne 0$, $\varphi_2(y_0,\eta_0) \ne 0$, and

$$\|Op^W(\varphi_1^h)UOp^W(\varphi_2^h)\|_{HS} \le Ch^\sigma, \quad h > 0,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{HS}$ denote the Hilbert-Schmidt norm in $B(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Now we choose $\varphi_3 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ so that $\varphi_3 = 1$ in a neighborhood of $\operatorname{supp}\varphi_2$. We note

$$\begin{aligned}\|Op^W(\varphi_3)\|_{HS} &= (2\pi)^{-n/2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\varphi_3(x,h\xi)|^2 dx d\xi\right)^{1/2}\\ &= (2\pi h)^{-n/2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\varphi_3(x,\xi)|^2 dx d\xi\right)^{1/2} = Ch^{-n/2}\end{aligned}$$

for $h > 0$ with some $C > 0$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|Op^W(\varphi_1^h)UOp^W(\varphi_2^h)\|_{HS} &\le \|Op^W(\varphi_1^h)UOp^W(\varphi_2^h)Op^W(\varphi_3^h)\|_{HS} + R\\ &\le Ch^{-n/2}\|Op^W(\varphi_1^h)UOp^W(\varphi_2^h)\|_{B(L^2)} + R,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$R = \|Op^W(\varphi_1^h)UOp^W(\varphi_2^h)(1 - Op^W(\varphi_3^h))\|_{HS} = O(h^\infty)$$

by the symbol calculus. Thus we have proved the following lemma:

Lemma B.3. *If for any $(x_0, y_0, \xi_0, \eta_0) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with $(\xi_0, \eta_0) \neq (0, 0)$ there are $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C_0^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\varphi_1(x_0, \xi_0) \neq 0$, $\varphi_2(y_0, \eta_0) \neq 0$ and*

$$\|Op^W(\varphi_1^h)UOp^W(\varphi_2^h)\|_{B(L^2)} \le C, \quad h > 0,$$

then $u \in B^{-n/2,\infty}_{2,loc}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$.

Proof of Theorem B.1. We modify the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [7].

We first note

$$WF(u) \subset \Lambda_S = \big\{(x, y, \xi, -\eta) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^{2n} \bigm| (x, \xi) = S(y, \eta)\big\}.$$

The proof is almost the same as that of [7]. We note if $(x_0, y_0, \xi_0, -\eta_0) \notin \Lambda_S$ with $\eta_0 \neq 0$, it is straightforward to show $(x_0, y_0, \xi_0, -\eta_0) \notin WF(u)$ (as in [7]). If $\xi_0 \neq 0$, we consider U^* and we can also conclude $(x_0, y_0, \xi_0, -\eta_0) \notin WF(u)$.

Now we let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N \in S^1_{cl}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and let $(x_0, \xi_0) = S(y_0, \eta_0)$. We may assume a_j are homogeneous of order one in ξ-variables. By Lemma B.3 and the proof of Theorem 2.1 of [7], it suffices to show the following to conclude U is an FIO of order 0 associated to S: There are $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in C_0^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\psi_1(x_0, \xi_0) \neq 0$, $\psi_2(y_0, \eta_0) \neq 0$ and

$$\big\|Op^W(\psi_1^h)[Ad_S(a_1)\cdots Ad_S(a_N)U]Op^W(\psi_2^h)\big\|_{B(L^2)} \le C, \quad h \in (0, 1] \tag{B.2}$$

with some $C > 0$.

We set $\Psi_0, \Psi_1 \in C_0^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^n)$ so that they are supported in a small neighborhood of (y_0, η_0), $\Psi_j = 1$ on a neighborhood of (y_0, η_0), and $\Psi_0 = 1$ on $\mathrm{supp}\Psi_1$. We then set

$$\varphi_j(x, \xi) = a_j(x, \xi)\Psi_0(x, \xi) \in C_0^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^n).$$

We note, since a_j are homogeneous of order one in ξ,

$$a_j(x, \xi)\Psi_0(x, h\xi) = h^{-1}a_j(x, h\xi)\Psi_0(x, h\xi) = h^{-1}\varphi_j(x, h\xi).$$

We also set

$$\psi_1 = \Psi_1 \circ S^{-1}, \quad \psi_2 = \Psi_1$$

so that

$$\psi_1(1-\Psi_0\circ S^{-1})=0, \quad (1-\Psi_0)\psi_2=0.$$

These imply, in particular,

$$\psi_1(x,h\xi)(a_j\circ S^{-1})(x,\xi)=h^{-1}\psi_1(x,h\xi)(\varphi_j\circ S^{-1})(x,h\xi),$$

and

$$a_j(y,\eta)\psi_2(y,h\eta)=h^{-1}\varphi_j(y,h\eta)\psi_2(y,h\eta).$$

Using these, and applying the h-pseudodifferential operator calculus, we learn

$$\begin{aligned}&Op^W(\psi_1^h)[Ad_S(a_1)\cdots Ad_S(a_N)U]Op^W(\psi_2^h)\\ &\qquad = h^{-N}Op^W(\psi_1^h)[Ad_S(\varphi_1^h)\cdots Ad_S(\varphi_N^h)U]Op^W(\psi_2^h)+O(h^\infty),\end{aligned}$$

and this implies the right hand side is bounded by the assumption of Theorem B.1. Now (B.2) follows from this observation, and we conclude the assertion. □

We note the conditions and the assertion of Theorem B.1 are microlocal, and hence the theorem is easily extended to a statement in a conic set in $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$. In the next proposition, we use the extended statement on a conic set.

Proposition B.4. *Let $\mathbb{R}^m=\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^k$, and let U be a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and let S be a homogeneous canonical diffeomorphism on $T^*\mathbb{R}^m$. Suppose U commutes with multiplication operators in y so that U is decomposed to*

$$U=\int_{\mathbb{R}^k}^{\oplus}\tilde{U}(y)dy \quad \text{on } L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)\cong L^2(\mathbb{R}^k_y,L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_x)),$$

where $\{U(y)\}$ is a family of operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_x)$. Suppose also that S is decomposed to

$$S:(x,\xi,y,\eta)\mapsto(\tilde{S}(y)(x,\xi),y,\eta+g(x,\xi,y))$$

for $(x,\xi,y,\eta)\in T^*\mathbb{R}^n\cong T^*\mathbb{R}^n_x\times T^*\mathbb{R}^k_y$, where $\{\tilde{S}(y)\}$ is a family of canonical maps on $T^*\mathbb{R}^n_x$. If U is an FIO associated to S on a conic set $\{(x,\xi,t,\eta)\mid \xi\neq 0\}$, then for each $y\in\mathbb{R}^k$, $\tilde{U}(y)$ is an FIO of order 0 associated to $\tilde{S}(y)$.

Remark B.1. The assumption on S actually follows from the properties of U. We include it to introduce the notations.

Proof. Let $a\in C_0^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 0)$, and let $\varphi,\psi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^k)$ such that $\varphi,\psi\geq 0$ and $\int\psi(\eta)d\eta=1$. We also denote $\psi_z(\eta)=\psi(\eta-z)$ for $z\in\mathbb{R}^k$. We consider

$$A_z=a_z(x,hD_x,y,hD_y)=a(x,hD_x)\varphi(y)\psi_z(hD_y).$$

Since U is an FIO, there is b_z, which is bounded in $C_0^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^m)$ uniformly in $h \in (0,1]$, such that

$$UA_z = B_zU + O(h^\infty), \quad B_z = b_z(x, hD_x, y, hD_y)$$

with the principal symbol:

$$a_z \circ S^{-1} = (a \circ \tilde{S}(y)^{-1})(x,\xi)\varphi(y)\psi\big(\eta - g(\tilde{S}(y)^{-1}(x,\xi), y) - z\big).$$

Since U commutes with $\big\{e^{iy\cdot z} \bigm| z \in \mathbb{R}^k\big\}$, i.e., the translations in η-variables, we learn

$$b_z(x,\xi,y,\eta) = b_0(x,\xi,y,\eta - z),$$

and the remainder term also satisfies this property. Moreover, these symbols decays rapidly outside $S[\mathrm{supp} a_z]$.

On the other hand, it is easy to see

$$\int_{|z|\le R} A_z dz \to a(x, hD_x)\varphi(y), \quad \int_{|z|\le R} B_z dz \to \tilde{b}(x, hD_x, y)$$

strongly as $R \to \infty$, where $\tilde{b}(x,\xi,y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} b_0(x,\xi,y,\eta)d\eta$. The principal symbol of $\tilde{b}$ is given by $(a \circ \tilde{S}(y)^{-1})(x,\xi)\varphi(y)$. These imply

$$\tilde{U}(y)a(x,hD_x)\varphi(y) = \tilde{b}(x,hD_x,y)\tilde{U}(y) + O(h^\infty),$$

where $\tilde{b}(x,\xi,y) - (a \circ \tilde{S}(y)^{-1})(x,\xi)\varphi(y) = O(h)$. Since $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^k)$ is arbitrary, for a fixed $y \in \mathbb{R}^k$ we may replace $\varphi(y)$ by 1, and we learn $\tilde{U}(y)$ is an FIO of order 0 associated to $\tilde{S}(y)$ by Corollary B.2 $\square$

References

[1] Craig, W., Kappeler, T., Strauss, W.: Microlocal dispersive smoothing for the Schrödinger equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **48** (1995), 769–860.

[2] Hörmander, L.: *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators* I–IV, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983–1985.

[3] Isozaki, H., Kitada, H.: Scattering matrices for two-body Schrödinger operators. *Sci. Papers College Arts Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **35** (1985), 81–107.

[4] Ito, K.: Propagation of singularities for Schrödinger equations on the Euclidean space with a scattering metric. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **31** (2006), 1735–1777.

[5] Ito, K., Nakamura, S.: Singularities of solutions to Schrödinger equation on scattering manifold. *American J. Math.* **131** (6) (2009), 1835–1865.

[6] Ito, K., Nakamura, S.: Time-dependent scattering theory for Schrödinger operators on scattering manifolds. *J. London Math. Soc.* **81** (2010), 774–792.

[7] Ito, K., Nakamura, S.: Remarks on the fundamental solution to Schrödinger equation with variable coefficients. To appear in *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **62** (2012).

[8] Ito, K., Skibsted, E.: Absence of embedded eigenvalues for Riemannian Laplacians. Preprint 2011 Sep. (`http://arxiv.org/abs/1109.1928`)

[9] Martinez, A.: *An Introduction to Semiclassical and Microlocal Analysis*, Springer Verlag 2001.

[10] Melrose, R.: Spectral and scattering theory for the Laplacian on asymptotically Euclidian spaces, in *Spectral and Scattering Theory* (Sanda, 1992), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. **161**, 85–130, Dekker, New York, 1994.

[11] Melrose, R., Zworski, M.: Scattering metrics and geodesic flow at infinity. *Invent. Math.* **124**, (1996) 389–436.

[12] Nakamura, S.: Propagation of the homogeneous wave front Set for Schrödinger equations, *Duke Math. J.* **126** (2005), 349–367.

[13] Nakamura, S.: Wave front set for solutions to Schrödinger equations. *J. Functional Analysis* **256** (2009), 1299–1309.

[14] Nakamura, S.: Semiclassical singularity propagation property for Schrödinger equations. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **61** (2009), 177–211.

[15] Taylor, M.: *Pseudodifferential Operators*, Princeton Univ. Press 1981.

[16] Vasy, A.: Geometric scattering theory for long-range potentials and metrics. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **6** (1998), 285–315.

[17] Yafaev, D.: *Scattering Theory: Some old and New Problems*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1735**, Springer Verlag 2000.